

[1] Von: Derek O'Barróid <henry.joe.mccracken.1798@gmail.com>

Gesendet: Montag, 22. Februar 1943 17:00

Betreff: Formal Pre-Action Notice – Constructive Abandonment of Duty and Obstruction of Justice System der organisierten Verantwortungslosigkeit.

[2] To:

Chief State Solicitor & Co.

**Office of the Attorney General
Pádraig Mawe, State Solicitor, Limerick City
Brendan Gill, State Solicitor, Limerick County
Torsten Kunze, Generalstaatsanwalt, Hessen
Jens Rommel, Generalbundesanwalt, Bundesrepublik Deutschland
Laura Codruța Kövesi, European Chief Prosecutor**

And any and all Deputy State Solicitors, Legal Officers, or nominated counsel acting for the State in civil proceedings

[3] **WHEREAS** by section 2(5) of the Prosecution of Offences Act, 1974, the Director is required to act independently,

[3.1] **WHEREAS** by section 3(1) he must exercise all functions formerly belonging to the Attorney General, including the direction of prosecutions,

[3.2] **WHEREAS** the Garda Síochána, pursuant to section 7(1)(f) of the Garda Síochána Act, 2005, is under a statutory duty to investigate crime,

[3.3] **WHEREAS** no delegation has issued notwithstanding that the investigating body is itself respondent,

[3.4] **WHEREAS** the practice of self-investigation, self-review and self-exculpation is repugnant to Article 6 ECHR, Article 47 Charter, and Article 34 Bunreacht na hÉireann,

[3.5] **WHEREAS** Limerick District Court clerks have refused to accept filings, judges have asserted ultra vires and vacated the bench, and superintendents have referred complaints back to the self-same division,

[3.6] **WHEREAS** the Treaty of Limerick, sealed in sixteen ninety-one, was shattered before the ink dried, precisely as today's writ is shattered before the docket is stamped,

[3.7] **WHEREAS** at Fontenoy in seventeen forty-five the Irish Brigade, crying "Remember Limerick and the perfidy of the Saxons", charged the English line and saved France,

[3.8] **WHEREAS** that cry now echoes in Limerick's streets not against redcoats but against blue shirts who patrol as protectors yet batter as jailers,

[3.9] **WHEREAS** the citizen who once killed and bombed for liberty finds the State he defended has become the very garrison he fled, makes him a murderer of dead soldiery,

the applicant hereby puts you on notice that any continued omission to appoint an independent solicitor will be pleaded as ratification of systemic dereliction and will constitute his joinder as respondent.

[4] In the event of your failure to act, as anticipated, you will be joined as respondent and held to the full rigors of law, including section 41 of the Criminal Justice Act 1999 (intimidation of witnesses), section 2(5) of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1974 (duty to investigate), and Article 40.3 of Bunreacht na hÉireann (constitutional duty to vindicate rights and reply to petitions) – having been served by email to your official office address and by post.

[5] This letter constitutes formal pre-action notice. Failure to appoint an independent solicitor will be pleaded as ratification of systemic dereliction and may lead to your joinder as respondent.

—qui tacet consentitur—

[6] Applicant:

AtU Derek O'Barróid

**Address: Am Weidebaum, Bóthar na Duga, Luimneach, Éire
Das Waldfeld 1, 60433 Frankfurt am Main, Hessen**

Passport: PU 2856758 Passport Card: C27040698 Date of Birth: 09/03/1968

I Say:

[7] In a democracy, only the emptying of a ballot box guarantees the position of a minister. No other competences are necessary. It is my duty as a democrat, as a republican, to expose the abject failures of these ballot-box empties. In the solemn execution of electoral duty, the officer empties the ballot box with all the gravity of a chamberlain emptying the privy, yet the latter rids the palace of its ordure, the former often preserves the stench, and multiplies it by statute.

[8] WHEREAS on 30 January 2026, Deputy Willie O'Dea TD was personally informed at his constituency clinic in Limerick of the alleged misconduct by Gardaí and the courts; he undertook to raise the matter in Dáil Éireann; on 6 February 2026 at 12:00 noon, the applicant returned to enquire; Deputy O'Dea became irate, stood up, raised his voice, and threatened to call the Gardaí, despite knowing of their prior attempt to murder the applicant on 7 June 2025, thereby constituting reckless endangerment, intimidation, and constructive ratification of state violence through silence and threat.

[9] Constructive abandonment of statutory duty by state actors within the European Union and its Member States, amounting to an arbitrary breach of contractual obligations enshrined in the Lisbon Treaty and Charter of Fundamental Rights [see Article 4(2) TEU: "The Union shall respect... the equality of Member States before the Treaties as well as their national identities, inherent in their fundamental structures, political and constitutional..."].

[10] Article 4(3) TEU – "Member States shall facilitate the achievement of the Union's tasks."

[11] In parallel with:

Article 40 Bunreacht na hÉireann – "The State guarantees in its laws to respect, and, as far as practicable, by its laws to defend and vindicate the personal rights of the citizen."

Article 1 Grundgesetz – "Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar."

Article 3 Verfassung Hessen – "Leben und Gesundheit, Ehre und Würde des Menschen sind unantastbar."

[12] In the absence of a direct Supreme Court decision delineating the precise contours of human dignity in the context of subsistence minima or fiscal burdens, recourse must be had to the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), incorporated into Irish law by the European Convention on Human Rights Act 2003, as interpreted by the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) and applied

in Irish jurisprudence. Article 3 ECHR prohibits inhuman or degrading treatment, which has been construed to encompass severe deprivation that undermines dignity, such as extreme poverty or destitution; Article 8 ECHR safeguards respect for private and family life, interpreted to include protection against arbitrary interference with personal autonomy and welfare, thereby implicating dignity in socio-economic matters. The Irish Supreme Court, while not issuing a binding precedent solely on dignity's fiscal implications, has acknowledged the ECHR as a "living instrument" influencing constitutional interpretation under Articles 40.3 and 29 of Bunreacht na hÉireann, as exemplified in judicial discourse emphasizing evolving standards of prudence, justice, and charity to assure individual dignity and freedom.

[13] **WHEREAS** the silence of state actors in the face of systemic injustice echoes the warnings of history, in chronological order:

[13.1] Deuteronomy 1:17 – "Ye shall not respect persons in judgment; but ye shall hear the small as well as the great; ye shall not be afraid of the face of man; for the judgment is God's." (c. 1400–1200 BC)

[13.2] "Justice in the soul is like harmony in music; when one part oversteps, the whole suffers." – Plato, Republic, Book IV (c. 380 BC)

[13.3] "One of the punishments for refusing to take part in the affairs of the city is that you end up being ruled by your inferiors." – Plato, Republic, Book I, 347c-d (c. 380 BC)

[13.4] Saint Colum Cille, in defiance of regal decree, refused to recalibrate the Paschal computation, and thereby preserved the integrity of ecclesiastical chronology. (c. 563)

[13.5] **WHEREAS** this principle, nobody is above the law, dates to 1216, when King John sealed Magna Carta Hibernica in Éire, granting Irish barons, clergy and freemen protections against arbitrary arrest, taxation without consent, and seizure of goods without due process, mirroring the English charter of 1215, preserved in the Red Book of the Exchequer.

[13.6] In 1474, Peter von Hagenbach was beheaded for crimes against civilians in the Burgundian Wars; his "orders from above" defence failed, as it would fail Göring at Nuremberg. God's law and natural law do not bend for rank—only for justice.

[13.7] The received wisdom of Erasmus avers that the imputation of madness is the first tribute paid to superior intellect by lesser minds. (Erasmus c. 1500)

[13.8] Francis Bacon:

Novum Organum (1620):

- Idola tribus: "Idola tribus sunt quae in ipso genere humano, et in ipsa natura humana, velut in tribu et familia, radicanur." (Aphorism 41)

- Idola fori: "Idola fori sunt quae ex commercio et societate hominum inter se orta sunt." (Aphorism 59)

Of Judicature (1625):

"Judices meminisse debent, officium suum est jus dicere, non jus dare; legem interpretari, non legem facere, aut legem dare."

[13.9] Voltaire, in his "Traité sur la tolérance" (1763): "Il faut que les hommes soient fous pour s'entre-tuer pour des opinions."

[13.10] Michael Barrett, Fenian, last man publicly hanged in England (26 May 1868) for the Clerkenwell explosion—spoke from the dock: "I am far from denying... my love of my native land. I love my country and if it is murderous to love Ireland dearer than I love my life, then it is true, I am a murderer. If my life were ten times dearer than it is and if I could by any means, redress the wrongs of that persecuted land by the sacrifice of my life, I would willingly and gladly do so." He claimed no blood, "the stain of murder did not rest upon me", yet owned the love that branded him criminal. When devotion to Ireland is treason, the gallows becomes altar. Ériu, sovereign goddess of sovereignty and harmony, stands witness; Brigid of Imbolc, fire of justice and renewal, kindles the unquenchable flame.

[13.11] "We therefore solemnly declare, that our object is to establish a free and independent republic in Ireland: that the pursuit of this object we will relinquish only with our lives." – Robert Emmet, Speech from the Dock, 19 September 1803

[13.12] The Weimar Republic's collapse, crippled by Versailles' punitive reparations, hyperinflation, and judicial silence on rising hate, shows how silence on dignity's erosion invites tyranny. (1919–1933)

[13.13] Weimar, the cradle of Goethe, Bach, Schiller, once stood as Europe's pinnacle of reason, art, and human dignity. Yet thirty miles away, Buchenwald rose in 1937, same soil, now a factory of death. The fall was not sudden: it was silence on dignity's theft, judges who looked away.

[13.14] And then the mere breeze of an afternoon, Goethe's garden air, Schiller's verses drifting, turned into a gulag. Buchenwald's barbed wire rose like a bad dream; the same wind that once carried Bach's fugues now carried ashes. Dignity, once sung, was silenced. One breath at a time

[13.15] "Stille im Angesicht des Bösen ist selbst Böses."; Dietrich Bonhoeffer, 1933

[13.16] "Was wir taten, war richtig, was ihr tut, ist Unrecht."; Hubertus Hübner, 19. Februar 1943

[13.17] "Die Wahrheit braucht keine Maske. Wer sie versteckt, ist selbst das Verbrechen."; Sophie Scholl, 18. Februar 1943, im Verhör vor dem Volksgerichtshof.

[13.18] Hessische Verfassung – Artikel 2 (1946): "(1) Der Mensch ist frei. Er darf tun und lassen, was die Rechte anderer nicht verletzt oder die verfassungsmäßige Ordnung des Gemeinwesens nicht beeinträchtigt. (2) Niemand kann zu einer Handlung, Unterlassung oder Duldung gezwungen werden, wenn nicht ein Gesetz oder eine auf Gesetz beruhende Bestimmung es verlangt oder zuläßt. (3) Glaubt jemand, durch die öffentliche Gewalt in seinen Rechten verletzt zu sein, so steht ihm der Rechtsweg offen."

[13.19] Grundgesetz – Artikel 2 (1949): "(1) Jeder hat das Recht auf die freie Entfaltung seiner Persönlichkeit, soweit er nicht die Rechte anderer verletzt und nicht gegen die verfassungsmäßige Ordnung oder das Sittengesetz verstößt. (2) Jeder hat das Recht auf Leben und körperliche Unversehrtheit. Die Freiheit der Person ist unverletzlich. In diese Rechte darf nur auf Grund eines Gesetzes eingegriffen werden."

[13.20] BVerfG, 17. Januar 2017 – 2 BvB 1/13 (NPD-Verbot): „Die Würde des Menschen bleibt nur unangetastet, wenn der Einzelne als grundsätzlich frei, wenngleich stets sozialgebunden, und nicht umgekehrt als grundsätzlich unfrei und einer übergeordneten Instanz unterworfen behandelt wird.“ (BVerfGE 144, 20 Rn. 540)

[13.21] In my 40 years in Hessen, I experienced the successful integration of Gastarbeiter and their children. I witnessed how diligence was financially rewarded—until the Wall fell on 9 November 1989 and reunification followed on 3 October 1990. Since then, I have observed the stagnation of the

Rechtsstaat, the steady infiltration of the Ämter through definite left-wing arbitrary behaviour, the definite trend towards the undermining of the judiciary by the executive, and the utter failure of judicial review thereof by the judiciary in all instances.

[13.22] **WHEREAS** in 1993, under Justice Minister Sabine Leutheusser-Schnarrenberger (FDP), the Bundesverfassungsgerichtsgesetz was amended (BGBl. I S. 2089) to limit access to the Constitutional Court: § 93a BVerfGG now requires "besondere Bedeutung" for complaints, effectively gatekeeping constitutional review for the ordinary citizen—turning the highest guardian of dignity into a selective club, while executive overreach goes unchecked.

[13.23] Garda Síochána Act 2005 (Ireland)—enacted under Minister for Justice Michael McDowell (a highly educated jurist, barrister, and former Attorney General)—vested in the Garda Síochána powers of detection, investigation, and community "support" under Section 7, granting uniformed officers authority to initiate criminal processes and "resolve" matters without judicial oversight, exceeding the cognitive and constitutional competence of any constable.

[13.24] „In diesem Bereich ist man dazu verurteilt, sich genau diese Behördenzuständigkeiten rechtlich in Frage zu stellen, das ist niemals eine adäquate Reaktion auf den Verlust eines Kindes. Es kann nur ein Beitrag sein, dass das nicht so oft wieder passiert.“ – Klara Geywitz, Markus Lanz, 30. Januar 2025.

[13.25] WHEREAS the Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (SPD), in the person of Minister Klara Geywitz and in the televised forum of Markus Lanz on 30 January 2025, articulated the proposition that the homicide of a child, absent a sufficient statistical multiplicity, does not compel executive intervention—thereby establishing a de facto rationing of human dignity in violation of the absolute guarantee contained in Article 1(1) Grundgesetz.

[14] From the latest data (2025/26 updates, adjusted for current year):
Minimum essential living costs for a single working-age adult (Vincentian MESL / Living Wage Technical Group): Up 5.8% in the past year. Rent drives most: +9.3% (~€20/week extra). Clothing costs actually fell slightly. Total minimum weekly costs for single adult: around €500–€600 (pre-tax, for basics + dignity). This supports the €15.40/hour Living Wage (full-time ≈ €600 gross weekly). Rent (typical one-bed): National average: €1,700–€1,900/month (~€392–€438/week). Dublin: €2,000–€2,500/month (~€462–€577/week) for a basic one-bed. Clothing & footwear (CSO Household Budget Survey 2022-2023, latest detailed): Average household weekly spend: ~€39 (3.9% of total €1,007 household weekly spend). Per person (rough, as households vary): €20–€30/week if scaled to single adult. Annual household spend on clothes/footwear rose 17.5% since 2015–16, but proportion fell over decades. Europeans average ~€772/year per capita on fashion (~€15/week). Full single person baseline (Numbeo/Expatriatan 2026 averages, excluding rent): ~€1,173/month (~€270/week) for food, transport, utilities, basics. Add rent, and single person realistic minimum: €2,500–€3,500/month total (~€577–€808/week).

[15] The core issue is both fundamental and unyielding: human dignity is not a negotiable commodity.

[15.1] Germany (25-year-old single, €1,029 gross/month, tied to Grundfreibetrag §32a EStG floor)

- Health: €84
- Pension: €96
- Unemployment: €12
- Care: €19
- Total deductions: €211
- Net: €818
- Food basket: €180–€240/month
- VAT food (7%): €12.60–€16.80
- Prep electricity: €12–€20/month
- VAT energy (19%): €2.28–€3.80

- Total food + prep + VAT: €207.88–€280.60
- Left over: €537.40–€610.12
- Percentage in this situation: 8-10% of employees (part-time normal contracts under €1,029)
- Pension after 45 years: average €1,635–€1,837 gross/month; 5–6 million pensioners (~25–30%) around or under €1,000–€1,100 net
- Combined percentage (25-year-olds + pensioners in this financial situation): 27.7–28.9% of total population
- Lifetime deductions (45 years full-time minimum wage): €450,000–€495,000 (tax + social)
- Pension cost over expected lifespan (~20 years at avg ~€1,200 gross/month): ~€288,000
- State profit: €162,000–€207,000 per person (contributions exceed payout)
- Inheritance tax from low-end section (small estates €20k–€50k): €0
- End-of-life situation: Contributed €450k–€495k, received €288k in pension, left €0 (inheritance tax €0);

state nets €162k–€207k profit

[15.2] Ireland (25-year-old single, €1,029 gross/month—speculative equivalent floor)

- PRSI: €41
- USC: €19
- Voluntary pension: €15
- Private health: €100
- Total deductions: €175
- Net: €854
- Food basket: €461.92/month
- VAT food (0%): €0
- Prep electricity: €16–€24/month
- VAT energy (9%): €1.44–€2.16
- Total food + prep + VAT: €479.36–€488.08
- Left over: €365.92–€374.64
- Percentage in this situation: 6-9% of employees (part-time normal contracts under €1,029)
- Pension after 45 years: average €1,100–€1,200/month; 300,000–400,000 recipients near €1,000–€1,100
- Combined percentage (25-year-olds + pensioners in this financial situation): 8.5–11.7% of total population
- Lifetime deductions (45 years full-time minimum wage): €112,500–€135,000 (tax + PRSI + health)
- Pension cost over expected lifespan (~17 years at avg ~€1,200/month): ~€245,000
- State profit: Negative €110,000–€132,500 per person (payout exceeds contributions)
- Inheritance tax from low-end section (small estates €20k–€50k): €0
- End-of-life situation: Contributed €112k–€135k, received €245k in pension, left €0 (inheritance tax €0);

state loses €110k–€132k per person

[15.3] WHEREAS § 32a Abs. 1 Satz 1 EStG purports to protect the subsistence minimum by granting a basic tax-free allowance (Grundfreibetrag) of €12,348 per year (2026) for a single person, thereby declaring that income up to this level shall not be subject to income tax, yet this protection is illusory and contradictory, because even at or below this threshold the citizen is still compelled to pay mandatory social security contributions (approximately 20.5 % for health, pension, unemployment and care insurance) and VAT on essential consumption (7 % on food, 19 % on energy and other necessities), thereby effectively taxing the very subsistence minimum that the Bundesverfassungsgericht has repeatedly held must remain completely untaxed and inviolable under Article 1 Grundgesetz.

[15.4] The core issue is both fundamental and unyielding: human dignity is not a negotiable commodity.

[15.5] Article 1 of the Grundgesetz of the Federal Republic of Germany proclaims it inviolable: “Die Würde des Menschen ist unantastbar.” This principle finds resonance in Article 40.4.1° of Bunreacht na hÉireann, which safeguards personal liberty, a concept that extends far beyond mere physical restraint to encompass the right of the individual to exist without being systematically diminished by the very legal order charged with its protection. Both jurisdictions are further bound by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union: Article 1 declares human dignity inviolable, while Article 6 protects the right to liberty and security of the person against arbitrary interference. The jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights consistently affirms that conditions imposed by state action which reduce a person to mere survival constitute a form of coercion incompatible with true liberty.

[15.6] Yet the State, in both jurisdictions, professes to uphold this floor while simultaneously eroding it. In the Federal Republic of Germany, the Grundfreibetrag is declared sacrosanct, yet every component of existence, social contributions, value-added tax on necessities, and compulsory levies, is clawed back, transforming the proclaimed minimum into an accounting entry. In Ireland, the concept of personal liberty is judicially confined to narrow procedural confines of detention and confinement, as though dignity evaporates the moment physical restraint is absent — a view reinforced by Mr Justice Cregan in *Mc Greal v Concannon* [2025] IEHC 425. However, this narrow interpretation is contradicted by the High Court in *Mallon v Minister for Justice* [2025] IEHC 125 (Ms Justice Stack), where it was held that EU law, including the Charter of Fundamental Rights, has full national weight and direct effect in Ireland by virtue of Article 29 of the Constitution. The ruling affirms that EU obligations are not merely international but form part of domestic law, thereby requiring a broader understanding of personal liberty that encompasses substantive dignity and autonomy, not merely procedural detention.

[15.7] The Bundesverfassungsgericht, in its seminal ruling of 9 February 2010, held unequivocally that the calculation of the subsistence minimum must rest upon transparent, empirically verifiable data and cannot be determined by arbitrary estimation “ins Blaue hinein.” The Irish High Court, under Mr Justice Cregan, appears to overlook that personal liberty is not a procedural technicality but a substantive guarantee. When an individual is subjected to arbitrary arrest, violent assault, and unlawful confinement, only for the presiding judge to withdraw from the presentation of evidence, the result is not the administration of justice but the exercise of unchecked power cloaked in judicial robes.

[15.8] These are not mere questions of fiscal policy or administrative convenience. They constitute profound constitutional injuries. The State cannot proclaim the inviolability of dignity while actively engineering its erosion through taxation, levies, and systemic indifference. Where the legal minimum is rendered illusory by the very mechanisms of the State, the constitutional covenant is broken.

[15.9] The higher constitutional imperative is clear: the law exists to serve the person, not the person to serve the law. Any lesser standard is not justice, it is constitutional betrayal.

[15.10] Relief Sought:

Declaration that deductions (PRSI, social charges, VAT on essentials) breach personal liberty under Article 40.4.1°, not just detention, but systemic erosion of existence.

Injunction to halt state-imposed levies on the minimum income (equivalent to €1,029 gross).

Mandatory order, require the State (Minister for Social Protection) to recalculate the minimum transparently, empirically, no “ins Blaue hinein”, aligning with EU Charter Art. 1 (dignity) and BVerfG 2010 precedent.

[16] WHEREAS the applicant has been systematically deprived of access to justice by persistent and concerted obstruction of judicial proceedings, as follows:

[16.1] Repeated personal endeavours to obtain case files from the Chief State Solicitor's Office (Ms Marie Browne) were met with outright refusal or deliberate non-response;

[16.2] Members of An Garda Síochána physically obstructed the applicant within courtrooms in Dublin and Limerick alike, barring access to the registrar and preventing presentation of documents;

[16.3] Presiding judges repeatedly withdrew from the bench upon receipt of evidence, vacating without reasoned explanation or record;

[16.4] **On 10 December 2025**, the seventy-seventh anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Judge Harney expressly declined jurisdiction on ultra vires grounds, thereby foreclosing any substantive hearing and denying the applicant the opportunity to prosecute his claim;

[16.5] Court registers falsely or evasively recorded such withdrawals as “illiteracy” or “incapacity” of the judge, constituting prima facie evidence of a structured gatekeeping apparatus incompatible with Article 34.1 of Bunreacht na hÉireann and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

[16.6] The subsequent appeal against Judge Harney's ultra vires refusal was likewise obstructed through apparent collusion between court officers, including Mr. Delaney (chief registrar and managing officer) and Judge Daley, who jointly deemed the applicant's filings “inappropriate,” declined to accept them, issued no receipt, allocated no hearing date, and furnished no written determination, thereby rendering the appellate remedy illusory and compounding the initial breach;

[16.7] The conduct of Judge Harney is repugnant to the constitutional order: although the District Court may lack subject-matter competence, her refusal to receive the papers, her immediate rejection thereof, and her abrupt abandonment of the bench abandoned the applicant to a state of unprotected vulnerability, contrary to law. Such conduct is unconstitutional: Article 34.1 Bunreacht na hÉireann requires justice to be administered by courts established by law, while Article 40.3.2° imposes upon every organ of State—including District Court judges—a positive, enforceable duty to vindicate the personal rights of citizens. Judicial duty demanded that Judge Harney accept the filings, record the jurisdictional objection, and direct the registrar to transmit the papers forthwith to the competent court (High Court or otherwise), thereby safeguarding access to justice rather than extinguishing it. Her wilful failure to perform that duty amounts not to judicial error but to deliberate dereliction, rendering the District Court an active participant in systemic obstruction and a direct violator of the rule of law.

[16.8] **Further:** attempts to engage the President of the District Court, Mr. Kelly, have likewise been ignored; no reply, no acknowledgment, no administrative direction has issued, thereby extending the obstruction from the courtroom floor to the apex of District Court administration and leaving the applicant entirely bereft of both judicial and supervisory recourse.

[16.9] **WHEREAS**, in accordance with the ratio of *Crotty v An Taoiseach* [1987] IR 713, the applicant possesses locus standi to challenge any act or omission of a State organ that infringes fundamental rights or constitutional obligations, irrespective of personal injury, where the matter affects the integrity of the constitutional order itself; the applicant therefore asserts standing to compel compliance with Articles 34.1 and 40.3.2°, and to seek judicial review of the systemic gatekeeping that has rendered the courts inaccessible, thereby invoking the Court's inherent jurisdiction to preserve the rule of law against institutional dereliction.

[16.10] **FURTHER WHEREAS** the applicant has been denied access to court records, including audio recordings (DAR) and transcripts of proceedings, contrary to Order 67A rule 8 of the Circuit Court Rules (S.I. No. 100/2013), which permits any party to apply by motion on notice—grounded on affidavit—for access to records, subject to review by the judge in the interests of justice, with transcripts provided at cost and subject to conditions; the repeated refusal to accept such motions, non-response from registrars, and judicial withdrawal have rendered this rule ineffective, breaching

the applicant's right to effective remedy under Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Article 13 ECHR, and constituting deliberate gatekeeping repugnant to the rule of law.

[16.11] **And WHEREAS** the applicant seeks the following relief:

1. A declaration that the systematic obstruction of court access—by Gardaí, registrars, judges, and administrative officers—constitutes a breach of Articles 34.1, 40.3.2° and 40.4.1° of Bunreacht na hÉireann, and Articles 6, 13 and 47 of the European Convention on Human Rights as incorporated by the European Convention on Human Rights Act 2003;
2. An order directing the Chief State Solicitor and the President of the District Court (Mr. Kelly) to accept and process forthwith all outstanding filings, including the original claim and the appeal against Judge Harney's ultra vires refusal, and to transmit same to the competent court without further delay;
3. An injunction restraining An Garda Síochána from physically or otherwise obstructing the applicant in or around court premises, and from interfering with the presentation of documents or access to the registrar;
4. An order for judicial review of the conduct of Judge Harney, Mr. Delaney, Judge Daley, and all officers involved, with a view to establishing liability for wilful dereliction and systemic gatekeeping;
5. Costs of these proceedings on a solicitor-client basis, together with such further or other relief as to this Honourable Court shall seem meet.

[17] **WHEREAS** im Juli 2022 der Antragsteller durch das Oberlandesgericht von dem Vorwurf nach § 89a Abs. 3 StGB (Vorbereitung einer schweren staatsgefährdenden Gewalttat) freigesprochen und aus der forensischen Psychiatrie Vitos Klinik Eltville entlassen wurde;

[17.1] **WHEREAS** § 89a Abs. 3 StGB eine Absatzregelung darstellt, die nur bei konkreter Vorbereitungshandlung greift, etwa der Unterweisung oder Beschaffung von Schusswaffen, Sprengstoffen, radioaktiven Stoffen oder chemischen/biologischen Kampfstoffen, was hier weder bewiesen noch vorhanden war, sodass der Freispruch die Unbegründetheit des gesamten Vorwurfs feststellte, es sei denn, Softairwaffen gemeint würde;

[17.2] **WHEREAS** unmittelbar nach diesem Freispruch die Bundeskriminalpolizei (Bundeskriminalamt) den Antragsteller dennoch verhaftete und nach Wiesbaden überführte;

[17.3] **WHEREAS** am folgenden Tag vor dem Amtsgericht Wiesbaden (Richter Dr. Wagner) ein Notanwalt bestellt wurde, der das Fehlen eines Klägers und einer formellen Anklage nicht feststellte;

[17.4] **WHEREAS** das Gericht einen vorgefertigten Beschluss verlas, der auf § 56 AufenthG und § 89a StGB gestützt war, obwohl der Oberlandesgerichts-Freispruch die Grundlage dafür bereits entzogen hatte;

[17.5] **WHEREAS** § 60 AufenthG (Verbot der Ausweisung) ausdrücklich die Abschiebung in ein Land untersagt, in dem der Betroffene einer ernsthaften Gefahr für Leben, körperliche Unversehrtheit, Freiheit oder Folter ausgesetzt ist (§ 60 Abs. 1, 2, 7 AufenthG), die Abschiebung des Antragstellers nach Irland jedoch trotzdem durchgeführt wurde, obwohl dort bereits vorangegangene willkürliche Inhaftierungen, systematische Schikanen durch An Garda Síochána, der versuchte Mord am 7. Juni 2025 sowie weitere Bedrohungen und Verweigerung jeglichen gerichtlichen Schutzes vorlagen;

[17.6] **WHEREAS** diese Abschiebung trotz gesetzlichem Verbot ohne jede gerichtliche Prüfung, ohne Anhörung, ohne Rechtsbehelf und ohne Berücksichtigung der konkreten Gefahrenlage erfolgte, womit der Antragsteller nach Ankunft in Irland sofort erneut willkürlich festgenommen, physisch angegriffen und fast getötet wurde, während alle nachfolgenden Versuche, gerichtliche Überprüfung zu erlangen – vor District Court, High Court oder Supreme Court – durch Richterrückzüge, falsche Registereinträge, physische Blockaden durch Gardaí, Aktenverweigerung und fehlende Verhandlungen systematisch vereitelt wurden;

[17.7] **UND** diese gesamte Kette – Freispruch, sofortige Verhaftung, Abschiebung unter Missachtung von § 60 AufenthG, nachfolgende Gewalt und fehlende effektive Rechtsmittel – nicht nur eine grobe Verletzung von Art. 2 Abs. 1, Art. 19 Abs. 4 GG und Art. 1 GG darstellt, sondern den demokratischen Rechtsstaat selbst entkernt, indem sie die Gewaltenteilung, die gerichtliche Kontrolle und das Verbot willkürlicher Staatsgewalt zur bloßen Fassade degradiert.

[17.6] **WHEREAS** die deutschen Behörden – insbesondere Bundeskriminalamt, Staatsanwaltschaft und Bundesamt für Verfassungsschutz – Kenntnis vom Garda Síochána Act 2005 haben müssen, da sie bei jeder Abschiebung die Rechtslage im Zielland prüfen;

[17.7] **WHEREAS** § 7(1)(f) Garda Síochána Act 2005 wörtlich die Pflicht „bringing criminals to justice“ auferlegt, ohne Unterscheidung zwischen Tatverdächtigen und Verurteilten, was den Gardaí de facto die Entscheidung über Schuld überlässt;

[17.8] **WHEREAS** diese Regelung – zusammen mit der fehlenden Haftung in Abschnitt 7(5) – die Gardaí praktisch über das Gesetz stellt, da keine effektive Kontrolle durch Gerichte oder unabhängige Instanzen besteht;

[17.9] **UND** die deutschen Behörden dies wissen mussten, bevor sie den Antragsteller abschoben – eine Abschiebung, die direkt zu willkürlicher Haft, Schikane und versuchtem Mord führte, ohne dass je ein Richter oder eine Instanz eingriff;

[17.10] **UND** die Willkür begann bereits in Deutschland: Freispruch durch das Oberlandesgericht, sofortige Verhaftung durch BKA, vorgefertigter Beschluss ohne Kläger – und dann Abschiebung trotz § 60 AufenthG, obwohl die deutschen Stellen die Rechtslage in Irland kannten und ignorierten.

[18] **WHEREAS** the applicant places all relevant European and German authorities—namely the European Commission, the European Court of Human Rights, INTERPOL, Staatsanwaltschaft Frankfurt, BfV Cologne, BVerfG Karlsruhe, BKA Wiesbaden, Polizeipräsidium Frankfurt, and Botschaft der Bundesrepublik Deutschland in Dublin—on formal notice that continued silence, non-response, or failure to act on this demand will constitute complicity in ongoing violations of Articles 3, 5, 6, 13 ECHR and Articles 1, 41, 47 Charter of Fundamental Rights, as well as breaches of German criminal law under § 258 StGB (Strafvereitelung – obstruction of justice), § 344 StGB (Falsche Verdächtigung – false accusation), and § 240 StGB (Nötigung – coercion), and will expose each entity and its responsible officers to personal liability for aiding and abetting systemic abuse of state power, including referral to the International Criminal Court for crimes against humanity under Article 7(1)(e) Rome Statute (imprisonment or severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law).

Silence or inaction by these bodies will be taken as ratification of the pattern described herein, triggering immediate application for injunctive relief and criminal complaint in Karlsruhe, Strasbourg, and The Hague.

I DEMAND as follows:

1. Immediate appointment of an "independent" State Solicitor to investigate and prosecute the attempted murder of 7 June 2025, the assaults of 8 June 2025, and all prior incidents since 22 July 2022, with full referral to the DPP and no involvement of Limerick Division Gardaí.

2. Production of all unredacted Garda files, prison records, medical reports (including Vitos Kliniken Hessen since 2014), court transcripts, Forms 3B/5, and correspondence from Ministers McNeill and O'Callaghan since 22 July 2022.

3. Formal enquiry by the Chief State Solicitor to INTERPOL, Staatsanwaltschaft Frankfurt, BfV Cologne, BVerfG Karlsruhe, BKA Wiesbaden, Polizeipräsidium Frankfurt, and Botschaft der Bundesrepublik Deutschland in Dublin regarding the deportation of 22 July 2022, lack of consular protection under Article 1 GG, and status of all German proceedings against me since 2014.

4. Judicial review under Order 84 of the Rules of the Superior Courts of all detentions under section 12 Mental Health Act 2001, with immediate release from any ongoing restriction and declaration that such detentions were unlawful.

5. Costs of these proceedings on an indemnity basis, together with any fee exemptions under S.I. No. 24/2014 (Article 5) by virtue of my Jobseeker's Allowance status.

6. In default of compliance within seven (7) days of receipt, you will be joined as respondent and held liable for all consequences, including criminal liability under section 41 Criminal Justice Act 1994 and constitutional breaches under Article 40.3 Bunreacht na hÉireann.

This demand is served by email and post. Silence will be taken as ratification.

Goethe in Faust is Mephistopheles speaking to the Student:

"Suchst du Verschwörung? Dummheit erklärt alles."

Heinrich von Kleist in Die Hermannsschlacht (Act 1, Scene 3) is Hermann speaking to the Fürsten (Thuiskomar, Dagobert, Selgar) after they refuse to destroy their own lands for the fight:

"Nun denn, ich glaubte, eure Freiheit wär's."



Signed AtU O'Barróid

I appreciate your rigorous consideration of this pressing matter and anticipate your expeditious response.

*Colbert & Daly fought to free Éire
A fundamental Right for me and you
The might of Right, not right of Might
To protect even the Devil as if it were you
If the law does not protect all, the who, you?*





Die Präsidentin des Bundesgerichtshofs

Die Präsidentin des Bundesgerichtshofs - 76125 Karlsruhe

Herrn
Derek Barrett
mittels E-Mail:

saoirse.1798.2025.EU@outlook.com

Aktenzeichen	Bearbeiter/in	Ihre E-Mail vom	
S18 – 33407	Wandner	14.01.2026	Karlsruhe, 19. Januar 2026
(bei Antwort bitte angeben)			

Sehr geehrter Herr Barrett,

es wird Bezug genommen auf Ihre E-Mail vom 14.01.2026.

Wie Ihnen bereits durch den Bescheid vom 13.01.2026 mitgeteilt worden ist, kann der Bundesgerichtshof in Ihrer Angelegenheit nichts für Sie tun.

Es kann daher auch auf Ihr neues Schreiben **nichts** veranlasst werden.

Auf weitere Anfragen, die denselben Sachverhalt betreffen, vermag der Bundesgerichtshof Ihnen einen erneuten Bescheid **nicht** in Aussicht zu stellen.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Im Auftrag

Wandner

Wir verarbeiten im Zusammenhang mit Eingaben und Anfragen ausschließlich solche Daten, die notwendig sind, um mit Ihnen zu kommunizieren und um das Verwaltungshandeln des Bundesgerichtshofs ordnungsgemäß zu dokumentieren. Hierzu gehören insbesondere jene personenbezogenen Informationen (u.a. Name, Vorname, Anschrift, E-Mail-Adresse, Kontakt usw.), die wir unmittelbar von Ihnen selbst erhalten haben. Die Verarbeitung der Daten ist zur Wahrnehmung unserer Aufgaben erforderlich (vgl. Artikel 6 Absatz 1 Buchstabe e der Datenschutz-Grundverordnung in Verbindung mit § 3 des Bundesdatenschutzgesetzes).

Hausanschrift:
Herrenstr. 45a
76133 Karlsruhe

E-Mail-Adresse:
Poststelle@bgh.bund.de

Telefon (Zentrale):
(07 21) 1 59 - 0

Telefax:
(07 21) 1 59 – 25 12



Die Präsidentin des Bundesgerichtshofs

Die Präsidentin des Bundesgerichtshofs - 76125 Karlsruhe

Herrn
Derek Barrett
mittels E-Mail:

saoirse.1798.2025.EU@outlook.com

Aktenzeichen **Bearbeiter/in**
S18 – 33407 Wandner
(bei Antwort bitte angeben)

Ihr Schreiben,
hier eingegangen am 12.01.2026

Karlsruhe, 13. Januar 2026

Sehr geehrter Herr Barrett,

es wird Bezug genommen auf Ihr Schreiben, welches hier beim Bundesgerichtshof am 12.01.2026 eingegangen ist.

Der Bundesgerichtshof ist, wie jedes Gericht der Bundesrepublik, an die Vorschriften über die gesetzlichen Zuständigkeiten gebunden. Er darf nur in den Fällen tätig werden, die das Gesetz ausdrücklich seiner Zuständigkeit unterstellt hat.

Für ein Wiederaufnahmeverfahren in Strafsachen ist der Bundesgerichtshof in keinem Falle zuständig (§ 140a Gerichtsverfassungsgesetz). Im Übrigen kann ein Antrag auf Wiederaufnahme des Verfahrens wirksam nur mittels eines von einem Rechtsanwalt bzw. Ihrem Verteidiger unterzeichneten Schriftsatzes oder zu Protokoll der Geschäftsstelle angebracht werden.

Ich gebe Ihnen anheim, sich an einen Rechtsanwalt bzw. Ihren Verteidiger oder, falls Ihnen die finanziellen Mittel dazu fehlen, an die Rechtsantragsstelle des nächsten Amtsgerichts zu wenden.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Im Auftrag

Wandner

Wir verarbeiten im Zusammenhang mit Eingaben und Anfragen ausschließlich solche Daten, die notwendig sind, um mit Ihnen zu kommunizieren und um das Verwaltungshandeln des Bundesgerichtshofs ordnungsgemäß zu dokumentieren. Hierzu gehören insbesondere jene personenbezogenen Informationen (u.a. Name, Vorname, Anschrift, E-Mail-Adresse, Kontakt usw.), die wir unmittelbar von Ihnen selbst erhalten haben. Die Verarbeitung der Daten ist zur Wahrnehmung unserer Aufgaben erforderlich (vgl. Artikel 6 Absatz 1 Buchstabe e der Datenschutz-Grundverordnung in Verbindung mit § 3 des Bundesdatenschutzgesetzes).

Weitere Informationen zur Verarbeitung von personenbezogenen Daten durch den Bundesgerichtshof finden Sie auf unserer Internetseite unter www.bundesgerichtshof.de. Hier finden Sie u.a. auch nähere Erläuterungen zu Ihren Rechten sowie weiterführende Kontakt- bzw. Beschwerdemöglichkeiten.

Fiosrú ref: 610215-12-25

(Please quote this reference no. when contacting Fiosrú, Office of the Police Ombudsman)

Private and confidential

Mr Derek O'Barróid

By Email

8th January 2026

Re: Decision on your Complaint

Dear Mr O'Barróid,

I am writing to you about your complaint, reference number **610215-12-25**.

We deal with complaints under the Policing, Security and Community Safety Act 2024 (the Act). This is the law that sets out how Fiosrú must deal with complaints. Sections 195, 197 and 198 of the Act contains the rules that Fiosrú must consider when making decisions about whether a complaint is admissible or inadmissible for investigation.

We have carefully considered your complaint and we have decided that it does not meet the rules set out in the Act and is therefore inadmissible. This means that we cannot investigate your complaint for the reason(s) set out below.

The law says that Fiosrú cannot investigate the following type of complaint:

'a complaint that lacks substance to warrant further action'

This is because, It is my considered view that no act or omission which would give rise to criminal or disciplinary action has been submitted.

As such, Fiosrú is of the opinion that the members complained of were carrying out normal policing duties while in court. Part of those duties includes preventing individuals from approaching the bench without permission. It cannot automatically be assumed that the member has heard the Judge grant you permission for you to approach the bench and give documentation to the court register. As no evidence has been provided to substantiate your allegation, therefore no further action will be taken.

We understand that you may be disappointed with this decision.

You can request a review of this decision within **28 days** of receiving this letter.

A request for a review can be made by completing the attached review request form and returning it:

- by post to Review Unit, Fiosrú, Office of the Police Ombudsman, 150 Upper Abbey Street, Dublin 1, D01 FT73, or
- by email to review@fiosru.ie

A request for a review can also be made by completing the online form which can be found at www.fiosru.ie

If you need any other supports to help you to request a review, please contact review@fiosru.ie

Yours sincerely,

Anne Maire Farren
Case Manager

Sent by email and accordingly bears no signature



19 January 2026

Mr Derek O'Barróid

Our Ref: 2025/06839

Contact: Stephen Finlan

Tel: +353 1 417 6100

Email: CSSOFOIRequest@csso.gov.ie

By email only: derek68job@gmail.com

Re: FOI Decision

Dear Mr O'Barróid,

I refer to your request, dated 18 December 2025 which you have made under the Freedom of Information Act 2014. Your request was as follows:

Under s.7 of the Freedom of Information Act 2014 I request all records relating to Derek O'Barróid from 1 January 2021 to today, held by your office or any associated body, including:

- *Frankfurt German proceedings: file 931 GS 6151 JS 232098-21 (§ 89a Abs. 1, Abs. 2 Nr. 2 StGB, preparation of violent act endangering the state) equated by German court to Irish Offences Against the State Act 1939 s.6, before Dr Schlosser, Amtsgericht Frankfurt;*
- *Averting detention, 5b UHL, 18 March 2025, under s.12 Mental Health Act 2001;*
- *Arrest by Gardaí, 7 June 2025;*
- *Appearance before Judge Harney, 10 June 2025;*
- *Remand into Limerick Prison, 10 June 2025;*
- *Appearances before Judge Coolican, 11 June 2025 (two hearings);*
- *All custody logs, medical exams, property receipts, CCTV, radio traffic, internal emails, Garda reports, prison notes, mental-health referrals.*

State every exemption in writing, citing the exact subsection of the 2014 Act. Release everything else in electronic and paper format.

I remain presumed innocent under Article 6(2) ECHR and Article 38.1 Bunreacht na hÉireann. No charge has been preferred against me in any Irish court. If any record contains an allegation against me, disclose it together with: (i) the charge, (ii) the file number, (iii) the court in which proceedings are active. Absent an open criminal case in Ireland, no exemption under s.32(1)(a) FOI Act can apply.



Section 42(f) of the Freedom of Information Act 2014 states: *“This Act does not apply to a record held or created by the Attorney General or the Director of Public Prosecutions or the Office of the Attorney General or the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions, other than a record relating to general administration”*. The Chief State Solicitor’s Office is a constituent office of the Office of the Attorney General.

Having examined the matter, I find that that your request does not relate to the general administration of this office. I am therefore refusing your request under Section 42(f) of the Freedom of Information Act (2014).

In the event that you are not happy with this decision you can make a request for an internal review in relation to this matter. You can do so by writing to the Freedom of Information Unit, Chief State Solicitor’s Office, Smithfield Hall, Bow Street, Smithfield, Dublin 7, D07 AEF4, Ireland or by e-mail to CSSOFOIRequest@csso.gov.ie .

In relation to an internal review regarding this decision there is a fee payable in the amount of €30 (€10 in the case of a medical card holder or dependent of a medical card holder). You should make your request within 4 weeks from the date of this notification, where a day is defined as a working day, excluding the weekend and public holidays. However, the making of a late request may be permitted in appropriate circumstances. The review will involve a complete reconsideration of the matter by a more senior member of the staff of this body.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Stephen Finlan".

Stephen Finlan

Freedom of Information Officer

Postanschrift: Staatsanwaltschaft • 35390 Gießen

Aktenzeichen: 303 Js 35290/24

Herrn
Derek Joseph O'Barroid

Bearbeiter/in: Weier
Durchwahl: 3216
Fax: 0611/327619003
E-Mail: faxse03@sta-giessen.justiz.hessen.de
Ihr Zeichen:
Ihre Nachricht:

Datum: 29.04.2025

Auf die Strafanzeige

gegen Jennifer Carrol Mac Nal
wegen des Vorwurfs der Freiheitsberaubung

wird die Einleitung eines Ermittlungsverfahrens abgelehnt (§§ 152 Absatz 2 i. V. m. § 160 Abs. 1 der Strafprozessordnung).

Gründe:

Aus der Anzeige ergibt sich kein strafrechtlich relevanter Sachverhalt, weshalb keine Ermittlungen einzuleiten waren.

Sell
Staatsanwältin

Beglaubigt

gez. Weier
Justizfachangestellte



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

Mr. Derek O'Barróid

Am Weidebaum

Bóthar na Duga

Luimneach

V94 I5AR

19 May 2025

RE: Correspondence to the Chief Justice

Dear Mr. O'Barróid,

I refer to your correspondence date 8 May 2025, which was addressed to the Chief Justice.

As per the information notice published on the website of the Supreme Court (www.supremecourt.ie) the Chief Justice may direct an appropriate official to reply to correspondence on his behalf. He has asked me to do so, and to convey to you that no discourtesy is intended by this.

The Chief Justice has no function of dealing with complaints in relation to the matters complained of in your letter and there is no complaints procedure available through the Office of the Chief Justice. Similarly, the Chief Justice has no jurisdiction to deal with your complaint, or to take any action on foot of it.

Furthermore, as the Constitution requires that justice be administered in public, the Chief Justice does not enter into correspondence with persons in relation to court proceedings and deals only with cases which have been brought before the Supreme Court in accordance with the procedures set out in the law and the Rules of Court.

Yours sincerely,

C. St J. O'Leary BL

Executive Legal Manager, Office of the Chief Justice

Hessischer Verwaltungsgerichtshof
3. Senat



Hessischer Verwaltungsgerichtshof, Goethestraße 41 + 43, 34119 Kassel

Mr. Derek Mac O'Barroid,
Am Weißebaum,
Bohar na Duga,
Luimnesh
Y94 1SAR

Aktenzeichen (Bitte nicht ändern)
3 A 1321/23-2

Re Zeichen	184/21A04-Barroid, Derek
Durchwahl	1027
Verf. Datum	31-07-2025

Sehr geehrter Herr Barrett,

in dem Verwaltungsstreitverfahren

Barrett, Derek, geb. 09.03.1968 / Land Hessen

werden Sie darauf hingewiesen, dass das Zulassungsverfahren dem Vertretungszwang unterliegt (§ 67 Abs. 4 VwGO). Prozesshandlungen, die unter Verstoß gegen den Vertretungszwang von postulationsunfähigen Personen vorgenommen werden, sind unwirksam und wahren keine Fristen. Das Vertretungserfordernis, auf das mit der Rechtsmittelbelehrung des Urteils hingewiesen wurde, gilt bereits für die Einlegung der Beschwerde und das gesamte Verfahren (§ 67 Abs. 4 Satz 2 VwGO).

Eingaben von Ihnen persönlich werden daher im o. g. Verfahren nicht mehr zur Akte genommen.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen
Lohmann
Richter/in am Hess. VGH



Begeleitet:

Justizbeschäftigte

34119 Kassel - Goethestraße 41 + 43
Telefon (0561) 30009-0 - Telefax (0561) 327618532 - 5 praxegleichen MA - Dr: 09.00 bis 12 Uhr
Die Erreichung elektronischer Dokumente/elektronischer Dokumenten ist im gerichtlichen Verfahren nur unter Beachtung der
Zugangsbeschränkungen zum elektronischen Rechtsverkehr zulässig. Hinweise dazu sind Hinweise zum Re-
chtsanwaltsdienstleistungen haben. Die elektronischen Dokumente und -anträge sind nicht gültig.
Auf Wunsch übermitteln wir diese Informationen auch in Papierform.

VERSANDSTELLE

Deutsche Post
4,05 EUR

Beglaubigte Abschrift

BUNDESVERFASSUNGSGERICHT

- 2 BvR 504/25 -



**In dem Verfahren
über
die Verfassungsbeschwerde**

des Herrn Derek Joseph O'Barroid,

gegen die Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (SPD)

hat die 3. Kammer des Zweiten Senats des Bundesverfassungsgerichts durch
die Richterinnen Langenfeld,

Fetzer

und den Richter Offenloch

gemäß § 93b in Verbindung mit § 93a BVerfGG in der Fassung der Bekanntmachung
vom 11. August 1993 (BGBl. I S. 1473)
am 7. Mai 2025 einstimmig beschlossen:

Die Verfassungsbeschwerde wird nicht zur Entscheidung angenommen.

Diese Entscheidung ist unanfechtbar.

Langenfeld

Fetzer

Offenloch

Abschrift beglaubigt:


Die Abschrift ist beglaubigt.
Bundesanwalt des Bundes, Bonn, 10.05.2025



Der Beschluss wurde um 13.23 Uhr verkündet



AMTSGERICHT WIESBADEN

B E S C H L U S S

In dem Freiheitsentziehungsverfahren

O'Barroid, Derek Joseph, alias Mac O'Barrett,

geb. am 09.03.1968 in Limerick/Irland, irischer Staatsangehöriger
im Inland ohne festen Wohnsitz

wird gem. § 427 I FamFG eine einstweilige Freiheitsentziehung längstens bis zum
18.02.2022 angeordnet.

Die sofortige Wirksamkeit dieser Entscheidung wird angeordnet (§ 422 FamFG).

D. Betroffene hat die Kosten des Verfahrens zu tragen (§ 23 Ziff. 15 GNotKG).

Gründe:

Die zuständige Ausländerbehörde hat mit Schriftsatz vom 05.02.2022 die Anordnung auf
vorläufige Freiheitsentziehung nach dem Aufenthaltsgesetz für d. Betroffenen beantragt. Auf
den Antrag wird inhaltlich zur Begründung Bezug genommen.

Da somit über die Abschiebehaft gegenwärtig nicht abschließend entschieden werden kann,
jedoch dringende Gründe für die Annahme sprechen, dass dem Antrag stattgegeben werden
wird, ordnet das Gericht nach Maßgabe des § 427 I FamFG eine einstweilige
Freiheitsentziehung an.

Die Anordnung der sofortigen Wirksamkeit beruht auf § 422 II FamFG.

Die Kostenentscheidung folgt aus § 23 Ziff. 15 GNotKG.

Rechtsmittelbelehrung:

Gegen diesen Beschluss können Sie Beschwerde einlegen **binnen zwei Wochen** (§ 63 II FamFG).

Die Einlegung hat durch Einreichung einer Beschwerdeschrift oder durch Erklärung zu Protokoll der Geschäftsstelle des Amtsgerichts Wiesbaden zu erfolgen (das den Beschluss erlassen hat).

Wiesbaden, den 05.02.2022
Amtsgericht, Abteilung 71

Dr. Wagner
Richterin am Amtsgericht

Ausgefertigt



Stabel
Urkundsbeamtin
der Geschäftsstelle



Absenderadresse

Theodoric Bärwald BÄRWALD · Das Waldweg 1 · 60433 Frankfurt am Main

00 000C C000 00 E000 0000
DV00.00 0,95 Deutsche Post 



*661*0000001*


District Court
Mulgrave Street
Limerick
Mulgrave street
V94 X79F Luimneach
IRLAND


Ihre Empfängeradresse muss innerhalb dieser Box stehen

Hochverrat



In the solemn act of ratification by referendum, the sovereign people have declared the Constitution a finished instrument, not a work in progress. Article 4(2) of the Treaty pledges respect for that constitutional structure – not for its eventual explication by a higher court. Article 9.3 Bunreacht na hÉireann binds every citizen in fidelity to the State; the State is the Constitution, the Constitution is the people, and the people have spoken. Therefore, the judiciary must not treat the text as aspirational; it must treat it as final, or the Treaty itself collapses as a nullity.





leathceanns and roses

Von Derek O'Barróid <trii.odaalaigh.trii.ocolbaaird@gmail.com>

Datum Sa, 14.02.2026 15:16

An contact@csso.gov.ie <contact@csso.gov.ie>; info@aislingcaseysolicitors.ie <info@aislingcaseysolicitors.ie>; bgill@powersolicitors.ie <bgill@powersolicitors.ie>; Buergeranfrage-S18@bgh.bund.de <Buergeranfrage-S18@bgh.bund.de>; lk.crime@garda.ie <lk.crime@garda.ie>; commissioner@garda.ie <commissioner@garda.ie>; dpp@dppireland.ie <dpp@dppireland.ie>; info@ag.irlgov.ie <info@ag.irlgov.ie>; highcourtcentraloffice@courts.ie <highcourtcentraloffice@courts.ie>; supremecourt@courts.ie <supremecourt@courts.ie>; info@justice.ie <info@justice.ie>; Jim O'Callaghan <jim.ocallaghan@oireachtas.ie>; st20-30-40.ppmh@polizei.hessen.de <st20-30-40.ppmh@polizei.hessen.de>; info@stk.hessen.de <info@stk.hessen.de>; bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de <bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de>; Presidents info <info@president.ie>; roberta.metsola@europarl.europa.eu <roberta.metsola@europarl.europa.eu>; cab-von-der-leyen-contact@ec.europa.eu <cab-von-der-leyen-contact@ec.europa.eu>; ec.president.vdl@ec.europa.eu <ec.president.vdl@ec.europa.eu>; willie.odea@oireachtas.ie <willie.odea@oireachtas.ie>; conor.sheehan@oireachtas.ie <conor.sheehan@oireachtas.ie>; kieran.odonnell@oireachtas.ie <kieran.odonnell@oireachtas.ie>; niall.collins@oireachtas.ie <niall.collins@oireachtas.ie>; patrick.odonovan@oireachtas.ie <patrick.odonovan@oireachtas.ie>; richard.odonoghue@oireachtas.ie <richard.odonoghue@oireachtas.ie>; maurice.quinlivan@oireachtas.ie <maurice.quinlivan@oireachtas.ie>; dee.ryan@oireachtas.ie <dee.ryan@oireachtas.ie>; Cc: maria.byrne@oireachtas.ie <maria.byrne@oireachtas.ie>; joanne.collins@oireachtas.ie <joanne.collins@oireachtas.ie>; joe.cooney@oireachtas.ie <joe.cooney@oireachtas.ie>; cathal.crowe@oireachtas.ie <cathal.crowe@oireachtas.ie>; timmy.dooley@oireachtas.ie <timmy.dooley@oireachtas.ie>; donna.mcgettigan@oireachtas.ie <donna.mcgettigan@oireachtas.ie>

To:
Ms. Kim Duffy
Principal Registrar
High Court Central Office
Four Courts
Dublin 7

Re: HP 2025.6439 , Refusal to File & Obstruction

Madam,

1. On 3 December 2025 this motion was accepted for filing under HP 2025.6439.
2. Since then two unsigned rejections have been returned:
 - first on 20th January 2026
 - second on 14 February 2026 – “documents not fit for judge”. No grounds stated.
3. Simultaneously, Michael Delany (Office Manager, Limerick District Court) has intercepted every application:
 - he states “correspondence is not an application”;
 - he refuses to docket under Order 15 r.1 District Court Rules 1997;
4. The chain is now complete:
 - High Court Registrar refuses;

- District Court Manager refuses;
- High Courts Judges run, District Court judges are incompetent

5. This is not administrative error.

It is systematic denial of access to court under Article 40.3 Bunreacht na hÉireann and Article 6 ECHR.

6. I therefore require:

- a. Immediate stamping and listing of HP 2025.6439 before a High Court Judge; a sitting one, I dare say.
- b. Summonses to issue against Michael Delany and the other named officials for obstruction;
- c. Written confirmation within seven days or the matter will be brought to the Judicial Council under s.15 Judicial Council Act 2019.

7. This letter is dated Valentine's Day, 2026.

It is not love.

It is warning.

8. All communications from 22 July 2022 to date —

letters, emails, fax transmissions, acknowledgements, rejections, stamped returns, verbal refusals, silences,
originating from or directed to:

- Any District, Circuit, High or Supreme Court office
- Any Garda station, Garda Legal Officer, State Solicitor
- The DPP, AG, Ombudsman, Judicial Council, HSE, Prison Service

shall be produced in full, unredacted, chronologically indexed, and lodged as exhibits within seven days.

9. Now therefore, pursuant to section 56 of the Courts Service Act 1998, the Principal Registrar, being the officer charged with the superintendence and control of the High Court Central Office, is hereby put on notice that any further refusal, delay, or non-production constitutes a wilful obstruction of justice and a breach of statutory duty; she is required, within seven days of service, to:

- (a) cause the motion HP 2025.6439 to be stamped, numbered and listed before a Judge of the High Court;
- (b) cause copies of all discoverable communications to be extracted, certified and filed as exhibit A1-A[∞];
- (c) furnish to the applicant a stamped certificate of compliance under section 56(4) of the said Act, failing which she shall be proceeded against summarily in the District Court for the offence of obstructing the administration of justice contrary to section 6 of the Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001.



COURTS SERVICE
An tSeirbhís Chúirteanna

Derek o Baroid,
Am Weidebarem,
Bothar na Duga,
Limerick. .

A Chara,

Please note these documents don't seem to be ay filing we take in here in the
Central Office.

If you are looking to make an application to get in front of a Judge, you will need to
go by way of Originating Motion or look to make an Ex Parte application to the court.

Kind Regards,

Central Office,
Gandon Building,
Dublin 7,
Dublin.

Dere O Baroid,

Am Weid ebarem,

Bonar na Duga,

Limerick



POSTAS

0340

IX01157



Theodoric Bärwald BÄRWALD · Das Waldweg 1 · 60433 Frankfurt am Main

High Court Éire
East Wing
Four Courts
Inns Quay,
D07 N972 Dublin 7
IRLAND

Der Gedanke legt der Grund für die Tat

Ms. Duffy,

Place this before a competent court immediately.

These papers expose constitutional failure and criminal treason.

No fees shall be raised.

Confirm receipt by email.

Fail to file and you will face the full rigors of the law.

MfG



COURTS SERVICE
An tSeirbhís Chúirteanna

Derek O Barroid
Am Weidebaum
Bothar Na DUga
Luimneach
Eire

→ Derek O'Barroid
→ Bothar
→ Éire

Dear Sir / Madam,

Find enclosed herewith your original correspondence rejected for reasons described below:

First Named Plaintiff:	O Barroid, Derek
First Named Defendant:	Minister for Justice
Document Type:	Affidavit
Reasons For Rejection:	To initiate judicial review proceedings a statement of grounds is required. An affidavit must have a title at the top of the first page Jurat must provide an address If the commissioner is identifying the deponent via a document (passport) it must also state containing a photograph.
Record Number:	n/a
Processed by:	Conor D Tuesday 20 th January, 2026

Please address these corrections/omissions and resubmit to the address below.

The Central Office,
High Court,
East Wing,
Four Courts,
Dublin 7

Tel +353(0)1 888 6016

Statement of Grounds exists
Passports & Passport Cards
Typically contain photos.

Ismael Camacho
Derek O'Barroid



Derek O Barroid

Am weidebaum

Bothar na Aga

Limendu

He

Date

03/12/2025

THE HIGH COURT

EX PARTE MOTION DOCKET

Record Number:

Year	number	Letter
2025	6439	P

Full Title *

Plaintiff/Applicant	-v-	Defendant/Respondent
Ata Derek O'Saroid		Minister for Justice Mr Jim O'Callaghan

or
*

In the matter of ISSUING OF A Barring order Against all member of Garda Síochána and no other Police authority. On the application of Ata Derek O'Saroid.	Applicant
--	-----------

Application on behalf of the ~~Plaintiff~~/Applicant/~~Defendant~~ to * [the
Court]*[the Master of the High Court] for an order

of Order No 84 Urd Mardoms a Barring order against Garda [immediate] travelling repeat coercion through Garda D183 witness Ms Anne D. Central Office High Court II Access to Court II Persecution of Justice III Obstruction IV An attempt subversion of the State - Lianm 1939 - usurpation 1925

which application shall be grounded upon **[the affidavit of]

Ata Derek O'Saroid 03/ 12/2025
..... filed on the day of

Ata Derek O'Saroid

[Solicitor for Plaintiff/Applicant/Defendant]

*[Plaintiff/Applicant/Defendant in person]

TELEPHONE/EMAIL ADDRESS

croilna.heorpa.mein.Hessenland@gmail.com

* delete as appropriate

** or as appropriate

To the Registrar
Central Office, High Court
Four Courts, Inns Quay, Dublin 7
3 December 2025



Dear Registrar,

Re: Record No. 2025/6439 – Derek O'Barróid (lay litigant)
In addition to the papers lodged today, I respectfully apply for the following orders/directions:

Full exemption from all copying and certification fees
I am unemployed, have no income or assets, and can afford only this single visit to a Commissioner for Oaths and one set of copies. I rely on Article 40.3° Bunreacht na hÉireann (access to justice) and the inherent jurisdiction of the Court.

Grant of Civil Legal Aid

I apply under the Civil Legal Aid Act 1995 for a legal-aid certificate to cover representation in these proceedings. I am indigent and the case raises serious constitutional and ECHR issues.

Permission to file and serve all future documents electronically

I apply under Order 84 r.20A RSC and Practice Direction HC119 for leave to file, serve, and receive all documents by qualified electronic signature (eIDAS-compliant). I have no funds for repeated postage or travel.

Transfer of all hearings to Limerick Circuit Court sitting as High Court on Circuit

I am unable to afford repeated travel or overnight accommodation in Dublin. I rely on Article 34.3.4° Bunreacht na hÉireann (High Court on Circuit) and the Courts Service power to assign sittings outside Dublin where justice requires. Limerick is my place of residence and the location of the impugned events.

I swear I have no means whatsoever and that the HSE has refused to document any disability, leaving me without supports.

I request these applications be placed before the Judge on the next ex-parte list (Monday 8 December 2025) together with the leave application.

Yours faithfully

Derek O'Barróid

Lay litigant in person
Am Widebaum, Bóther na Duga, Luimneach, Éire

01



THE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT

RECORD NO. Insert Record Number

H.P. 2025.6439

BETWEEN:

ALL Derek O'Barróid

Insert Plaintiffs Name

PLAINTIFF

and

Minister for Justice Mr. Jim O'Callaghan

Insert Defendants Name

DEFENDANT

I, Derek O'Barróid a man of Irish the city of Dublin being eighteen years and upwards MAKE OATH and say as follows:

- I Access to court procedure
- II Perversion of Justice
- III Obstruction
- IV Non military subversion of the State.
- Treason 1939
- Corruption 1925

Derek O'Barróid

Sworn before me by the said Derek O'Barróid on the 3rd day of December 2025 at 224 Capel Building in the city/county of Dublin before me a Commissioner for Oaths / ~~Practising Solicitor~~ and the deponent Derek O'Barróid DELETE AS APPROPRIATE - is personally known to me / is identified to me by who is personally known to me / whose identity has been established by reference to a relevant document (insert particulars of document) passport card containing a photograph no. C27040698

M. Proseckina

M. PROSECKINA

Commissioner for Oaths / ~~Practising Solicitor~~ 224 Capel Building Mary's Abbey Dublin 7

Filed this 3rd December 2025 by Insert Solicitors Name on behalf of the Insert plaintiff/defendant/third party as appropriate

THE HIGH COURT

AFFIDAVIT

RECORD NO. *Insert Record Number* H.P. 2025. 6439

BETWEEN:

Insert Plaintiffs Name

Derek O'Barrick ~~*Theodore Barrick*~~

PLAINTIFF

and

Insert Defendants Name

Minister for Justice Mr. John O'Callaghan DEFENDANT

I *a* of in the city of Dublin being eighteen years
and upwards MAKE OATH and say as follows:

1 Access to Court obstructed
2 Perversion justice
Obstruction

Sworn before me by the said *Derek Joseph O'Barrick*
on the *2nd* day of *December* 20*25*, at
in the city/county of *67 O'Connell Street Limerick*
before me a Commissioner for Oaths / *David King*
Practising Solicitor and the deponent
~~DELETE AS APPROPRIATE~~ - is personally
known to me / is identified to me by *who*
is personally known to me / whose identity has
been established by reference to a relevant
document (insert particulars of document)
containing a photograph *Passport Card Number C2740069*

David King
Commissioner for Oaths/Practising Solicitor

Filed this by *Insert Solicitors Name* on behalf of the *Insert*
plaintiff/defendant/third party as appropriate

DAVID KING
SOLICITOR
67, O'Connell Street, Limerick

GENERAL INDORSEMENT OF CLAIM

The plaintiff's claim is *insert details of claim*

Signed _____

Solicitor for Plaintiff

Civil matter against
Minister of Justice Mr. Jim O'Callaghan

Dear Court

Access to an impartial Court is a constitutional
right: Art 34 n.w. Art 40-4.1 Bunreacht na hÉireann
through Art 122 & Art 28 Bunreacht na hÉireann
Art 67 TEU is national law.

The Criminal Justice court has no Access point.
The High Court refuses to provide Constitutional
protection.

Witness: Hon Justice Cragan on 26/11/25 Court 2
Court Clerk 'EVA' & Garda 183D
~~@Garda~~

@Criminal Court of Justice

Garda D100

Court Clerk Mr Terry Sheehan

26/11/25 ~~A~~ Theodor Barwood. 1798
2025

H.P. 2025. 6439

ORIGINATING SUMMONS

No. 1

O.1, r.2

PLENARY SUMMONS

Derck O'Boisoid

THE HIGH COURT

Between insert name of plaintiff

No.
Plaintiff,

And insert name of defendant

Defendant.

~~THEO DOUGHERTY~~

Minister for Justice

Jim M. O'Callaghan

To the defendant insert defendant name of insert defendant address in the County of insert County

*Dublin Stephen Green Minister for Justice
LENS FOR HOWE, KIDARE STREET.*

This plenary summons requires you to enter an appearance in person or by solicitor in the Central Office, Four Courts, Dublin in the above action within eight days after the summons has been served on you (exclusive of the day of such service).

And TAKE NOTICE that if you do not enter an appearance within that time the plaintiff may proceed in this action, and judgment may be given in your absence.

BY ORDER, THE HONOURABLE *Donal O'Donnell* insert the name of the Chief Justice Chief Justice of

Ireland, the insert date day of insert month two thousand and insert year
26th November twenty-five

N.B.—This summons is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date hereof, unless the time for service has been extended by the Court.

The defendant may appear hereto by entering an appearance either personally or by solicitor at the Central Office, Four Courts, Dublin.

*My Copy
02/12/25
Cent Office.*

*I Plenary summons is received
II The High Court Alldavid
must be*



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

Mr. Derek O'Barróid

Am Weidebaum

Bóthar na Duga

Luimneach

V94 ISAR

19 May 2025

RE: Correspondence to the Chief Justice

Dear Mr. O'Barróid,

I refer to your correspondence date 8 May 2025, which was addressed to the Chief Justice.

As per the information notice published on the website of the Supreme Court (www.supremecourt.ie) the Chief Justice may direct an appropriate official to reply to correspondence on his behalf. He has asked me to do so, and to convey to you that no discourtesy is intended by this.

The Chief Justice has no function of dealing with complaints in relation to the matters complained of in your letter and there is no complaints procedure available through the Office of the Chief Justice. Similarly, the Chief Justice has no jurisdiction to deal with your complaint, or to take any action on foot of it.

Furthermore, as the Constitution requires that justice be administered in public, the Chief Justice does not enter into correspondence with persons in relation to court proceedings and deals only with cases which have been brought before the Supreme Court in accordance with the procedures set out in the law and the Rules of Court.

Yours sincerely,

C. St J. O'Leary BL

Executive Legal Manager, Office of the Chief Justice

I appreciate your vigorous consideration of this pressing matter and anticipate your expeditious response.

Colbert & Daly taught to live Éire
A fundamental Right for me and you
The might of Right, not right of Might
To protect even the Devil as it were you
If the the Law does not protect all, the who, you?

Theodore Barróid 



From: Limerick Court Office <limerickcourtoffice@courts.ie>
Subject: (no subject shown)

Dear Mr. O'Barróid,

This office has previously explained how to make an application to a Judge. The general correspondence we have received from you does not constitute lodgement of an application or making an application. Judges do not engage in direct correspondence with court users. In this e-mail, I will provide a step by step outline to assist you in understanding the procedure to make an application to the District Court Judge in Limerick.

Here are the 3 procedural steps required to make an application in the District Court for criminal matters:

1. Prepare your physical printed/written application. ...
2. Attend the public counter ...
3. Attend the District Court sitting ...

The Courts Service has a responsibility to use its resources in an equitable manner. Unreasonably persistent and demanding actions can take up a disproportionate amount of time and hinder the delivery of other services. Your communications fall into this category. For that reason, this office has now exhausted all avenues of providing support and will not engage with you again by correspondence.

Regards,
Michael Delany
Office Manager
Mulgrave Street Courthouse
Limerick

(13 January 2026)

From: Limerick Court Office <limerickcourtoffice@courts.ie>
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2026 1:17:19 PM
Subject: Package received 12/01/2026

Dear Mr. O'Barróid,

You sent a package to Judge Daly, Judge of the Circuit Court by registered post. Staff from this office have previously outlined that Judges do not engage in direct communication with members of the public and explained why that rule is in place. ...

I discussed your package and previous letters/e-mails with Judge Daly this morning and he has directed me to inform you that attempts to directly communicate with a Judge by e-mail or post are "inappropriate".

Regards,
Michael Delany
Office Manager
Mulgrave Street Courthouse
Limerick



Circuit Court



10/12/1948

Notice of Appeal to Circuit Court

Between

ALU Dorch O'Barróid, An Weidebean, Bóthar na Daga
V44 SVAR, Lunnach. Éire

Appellant

- and -

The Minister for Justice Mr. O'Callaghan

The Commissioner of An Garda Síochána

The Attorney General & Éire

Respondent

TAKE NOTICE that the Appellant hereby appeals to the Honourable the Circuit Court against the refusal of the District Court; the right Hon. Justice Harney at Court 6 Limerick District Court, Mulgrave Street, Limerick, Éire, this day, the 10th day of December, to entertain jurisdiction over the Appellant's application concerning the





Concerning the unlawful acts of members of An Garda Síochána; arbitrary incarceration repugnant to Art 40.4.1 Bunsreacht na hÉireann, committed on 18 March 2025, Limerick City, Boatsman Quay approx. 10am.

The Appellant seeks the following relief:

1. A full hearing de novo before the Circuit Court
2. An interim injunction restraining all members of An Garda Síochána from arresting, detaining, approaching or otherwise interfering with the personal liberty under Art 40.4.1 Bunsreacht na hÉireann of July 1937 of the Appellant pending final determination of these proceedings.
3. An order transferring the entire cause to the High Court exercising its jurisdiction on Circuit at Limerick.

Dated this 10th December 2025

Atll Donk O'Boord 

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec supereum ibimus, nec super eum mittimus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus, nullinegabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.



To the Registrar

Limerick District Court, Mulgrave Street

Wednesday 10 December 2025 – Open List

High Court Record No. 2025 6489 P

Derek O'Barróid (lay litigant in person)

Dear Registrar,

1. These proceedings were issued by the Central Office on 26 November 2025 (original Plenary Summons enclosed).
2. The grounding affidavit, judicial-review motion and my application to transfer the case to Limerick were accepted and stamped on 3 December 2025.
3. The Central Office has also accepted my full 500+ page exhibit bundle electronically.
4. Despite the case being live for two weeks, court staff have refused to accept the original documents.
5. This refusal now has an international dimension: the same detention is the subject of a criminal file opened in the Federal State of Hessen, Federal Republic of Germany (Staatsanwaltschaft Gießen, Aktenzeichen 303 Js 35290/24). Other failures at Bundesebene (federal level) are also documented.
6. Garda harassment (Special Branch / Garda D 183 Mr Michael McGrath) inside the Four Courts (witnessed by court officers Ms Aoife D. and Ms Jillian L.) and repeated nationwide Garda interference have violated my constitutional personal liberty even to the point of death. I therefore seek an interim court order restraining such Garda behaviour pending the full hearing.

I ask the court in open session to direct that:

- (a) the registrar receive and stamp the originals now;

- (b) the entire case be transferred to Limerick (High Court on Circuit);
- (c) the earliest possible return date be fixed;
- (d) an interim order be granted restraining Garda interference until the matter is heard.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'All Derek O'Barróid', with a stylized flourish to the right.

Derek O'Barróid

Am Weidebaum, Bóthar na Duga, Luimneach

10 December 2025

(Lay litigant in person – no fee payable)

To the Registrar
Central Office, High Court
Four Courts, Inns Quay, Dublin 7
3 December 2025



Dear Registrar,

Re: Record No. 2025/6439 – Derek O'Barróid (lay litigant)
In addition to the papers lodged today, I respectfully apply for the following orders/directions:

Full exemption from all copying and certification fees
I am unemployed, have no income or assets, and can afford only this single visit to a Commissioner for Oaths and one set of copies. I rely on Article 40.3° Bunreacht na hÉireann (access to justice) and the inherent jurisdiction of the Court.

Grant of Civil Legal Aid

I apply under the Civil Legal Aid Act 1995 for a legal-aid certificate to cover representation in these proceedings. I am indigent and the case raises serious constitutional and ECHR issues.

Permission to file and serve all future documents electronically

I apply under Order 84 r.20A RSC and Practice Direction HC119 for leave to file, serve, and receive all documents by qualified electronic signature (eIDAS-compliant). I have no funds for repeated postage or travel.

Transfer of all hearings to Limerick Circuit Court sitting as High Court on Circuit

I am unable to afford repeated travel or overnight accommodation in Dublin. I rely on Article 34.3.4° Bunreacht na hÉireann (High Court on Circuit) and the Courts Service power to assign sittings outside Dublin where justice requires. Limerick is my place of residence and the location of the impugned events.

I swear I have no means whatsoever and that the HSE has refused to document any disability, leaving me without supports.

I request these applications be placed before the Judge on the next ex-parte list (Monday 8 December 2025) together with the leave application.

Yours faithfully

Derek O'Barróid

Lay litigant in person
Am Widebaum, Bóther na Duga, Luimneach, Éire

IN THE HIGH COURT

Record No. 2025 / 6439

DEREK O'BARRÓID

also known as THEODORIC BAERWALD

of Am Widebaum, Bóther na Duga, Luimneach, Éire

Applicant

v

- 1. MR. DONAL O'DONNELL** (Chief Justice of Ireland, natural person)
- 2. MR. JIM O'CALLAGHAN TD** (Minister for Justice)
- 3. MS. JENNIFER CARROLL MACNEILL TD** (Minister for Health)
- 4. IRELAND and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

Respondents

EX-PARTE NOTICE OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPLY FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

(Urgent – life in immediate danger)

TAKE NOTICE that the Applicant will apply ex-parte for:

1. Leave (with extension of time if required) to apply for judicial review seeking:
 - (a) Certiorari quashing the First Respondent's refusal dated 8 May 2025
 - (b) Mandamus compelling the Second and Third Respondents to disclose within 14 days all Garda, medical and prison files.
 - (c) Declaration that the detention on 18 March 2025 was unlawful (Article 40.4.1° Bunreacht na hÉireann, Article 5 ECHR, s.12 Mental Health Act 2001)
 - (d) Declaration that the continuing refusal of files breaches Article 47 CFREU and Article 8 ECHR
2. Order shortening time
3. Liberty to serve on short notice
4. Stay on any further s.12 Mental Health Act powers

Grounded upon the affidavit of Derek O'Barróid and Exhibit DOB-1 thereto.

Dated 03 December 2025



Outlook

Re: details of your new appointed GP

From dU Derek O'Barróid <derek68job@gmail.com>

Date Fri 05/12/2025 12:18

To Caroline Clarke <caroline.clarke@citinfo.ie>

Hi Carolin,

Thanks,

However, Giffen Daly have refused to treat me; twice, has proven incapable of solving the administrative failures, repugnant to art 47 EU.

A high court Summons was filed against the responsible minister Ms. McNiel, I have also that sought that Daly be struck off.

Please inform, the HSE, of this situation.

Please apply for full, unmanipulated filed, to aid further prosecutions. My applications are ignored, not understood, or what I received was blacked out.

In particular the arbitray, in carceration to 5b on the the 18th of march, this year.

Equally, the files from limerick prison from the 10 th of june 2025, the attending GP, did not understand the term: plastic ignorant misfeasance. The prisonwarder, was threatening, and prevented the medical examination of the injuries that I received by repeated attacks by members of the Garda Síochána.

1. Cerebral hypoxia,
2. Cracked scapula,
3. Scrape wounds.

I would appreciate assistance in this as Fisrú, Dpp, and higher servants in the command chain refuse to investigate.

Petitions to local executive and TD are ignored.

I expect an Irish, Christian GP.

Islamic non Irish, will not have education to deal my multifacet Mental health issues.

I thank you for your cooperation over the years in getting singularly a medical card posted. This step Ms. Daly did not understand.

Please, pass this Email verbatim onto the HSE.

See you soon as they say:))
Derek.

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Caroline Clarke <caroline.clarke@citinfo.ie>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 11:11:39 AM
To: dU Derek O'Barróid <derek68job@gmail.com>
Subject: details of your new appointed GP

Dear Caroline,

GP details are as follows.

Dr Matina Symeonidi (54984)
Griffin Daly GP Practice

It appears that the previous GP that this client was assigned to has indeed retired and his panel was transferred to Dr Symeonidi.

Client should receive notification from either the GP practice or HSE that the panel transferred.

Many thanks

Michael O'Keeffe
GP Assignments
Eligibility Unit

Caroline Clarke | Information Officer / Administrator – Limerick | North Munster Citizens Information Service CLG
Limerick CIC, Ground Floor – Riverstone House, 23-27 Henry Street, Limerick V94 3T28
t: 061 780082 |
e: caroline.clarke@citinfo.ie | w: www.citizensinformation.ie

North Munster Citizens Information Service is a registered charity - RCN 20205910

-



We are an accredited Age Friendly Business

-

Disclaimer:

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential. The information contained within is intended solely for the attention and use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you may not use, disclose, copy, distribute, print or retain this message or any part of it. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately and delete all copies of this email from your computer system(s). The information in this email is provided within the parameters of the information supplied and is intended for the recipient only. We regret we cannot take responsibility for any errors or omissions. Any information and/or advice given by Citizens Information Service is only a general guide, and does not purport to be legal advice or an authoritative interpretation of the law. We strongly recommend if you are unclear with regards the information provided in this email, that telephone contact is made with an Information Office in the Citizens

IN THE HIGH COURT

Record No. 2025 / 6439

DEREK O'BARRÓID

also known as THEODORIC BAERWALD

of Am Widebaum, Bóther na Duga, Luimneach, Éire

Applicant

v

1. **MR. DONAL O'DONNELL** (Chief Justice of Ireland, natural person)
2. **MR. JIM O'CALLAGHAN TD** (Minister for Justice)
3. **MS. JENNIFER CARROLL MACNEILL TD** (Minister for Health)
4. **IRELAND and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

Respondents

EX-PARTE NOTICE OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPLY FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

(Urgent – life in immediate danger)

TAKE NOTICE that the Applicant will apply ex-parte for:

1. Leave (with extension of time if required) to apply for judicial review seeking:
 - (a) Certiorari quashing the First Respondent's refusal dated 8 May 2025
 - (b) Mandamus compelling the Second and Third Respondents to disclose within 14 days all Garda, medical and prison files.
 - (c) Declaration that the detention on 18 March 2025 was unlawful (Article 40.4.1° Bunreacht na hÉireann, Article 5 ECHR, s.12 Mental Health Act 2001)
 - (d) Declaration that the continuing refusal of files breaches Article 47 CFREU and Article 8 ECHR
2. Order shortening time
3. Liberty to serve on short notice
4. Stay on any further s.12 Mental Health Act powers

Grounded upon the affidavit of Derek O'Barróid and Exhibit DOB-1 thereto.

Dated 03 December 2025

Derek O'Barróid

Lay litigant in person

IN THE HIGH COURT

Record No. 2025/6439

STATEMENT REQUIRED BY ORDER 84, RULE 20(3)

1. Applicant: Derek O'Barróid, Am Widebaum, Bóther na Duga, Luimneach, Éire
2. Relief sought: as in Notice of Motion para 1(a)–(d)
3. Grounds: as in Notice of Motion, Grounding Affidavit and Exhibit DOB-1
4. Date of impugned decision: 8 May 2025 and continuing

Dated 03 December 2025

Derek O'Barróid

IN THE HIGH COURT

Record No. 2025/6439

I, **Derek O'Barróid**, also known as Theodoric Baerwald, of Am Widebaum, Bóther na Duga, Luimneach, Éire, make oath and say:

1. I am the Applicant.
2. The facts set out in the document marked "DOB-1" now shown to me are true.
3. I am in imminent danger to my life.
4. I seek the reliefs in the Notice of Motion.

SWORN by Derek O'Barróid

this ____ day of December 2025

Before me

Commissioner for Oaths / Practising Solicitor

Deponent: _____

EXHIBIT “DOB-1”

0. Multiple reports of crime in 2024, multiple reports of alleged crime to Roxboro Garda and Henry Street Garda stations. In 2025 petitions to Mr. O’Donnell TD, Mr. O’Dea TD, Mr. Quinlan TD, Senator Ms. Dee Ryan, President Mr. Higgins, Bundespräsident Herr Steinmeier, Ministerpräsident Herr Rhein, Limerick Citizens Advice, Limerick Legal Aid Board, The Church, all to no avail, all administrative avenues have been tried.
1. 1 February 2025 – unprovoked bouncer assault in Limerick city centre.
2. February 2025 – all written Garda complaints ignored.
3. 18 March 2025 – arbitrary detention under s.12 Mental Health Act 2001 by twelve Gardaí – no warrant, taken to Henry Street
4. Garda station.
5. 8 May 2025 – Chief Justice Donal O’Donnell refuses to exercise jurisdiction or grant mandamus (letter exhibited).
6. June 2025 – deliberate cell attack by four Gardaí and a Superintendent resulting in cerebral hypoxia – attempted murder.
7. 10 June 2025 – escape from Limerick Prison in circumstances of self-preservation and imminent danger to life.
8. Registered letter to Garda Commissioner Drew Harris detailing the assaults – no response received.
9. Multiple requests for Garda, medical, and prison files refused by every authority.
10. Fiosrú / GSOC complaints ignored or deemed inadmissible
11. Erich Kästner’s 1928 warning “Kennst du das Land, wo die Kanonen blühen?” and 1933 “Und es brennt wieder” proven accurate by history – the same pattern of silence and creeping darkness repeats today.
12. Fidelity to the nation and loyalty to the State are fundamental political duties of all citizens (Article 9.3.1° Bunreacht na hÉireann). Resistance against public authority exercised in violation of the constitution is every person’s right and duty (Article 147 Hessische Verfassung of 1 December 1946).
13. War is not only physical violence. Executive and judicial violence is committed with the stroke of a pen.
14. The duty to the neighbour – Heaven v Pender [1883], Donoghue v Stevenson [1932], Leviticus 19:18, UDHR Article 29, HessVerfG Articles 2, 146, 147, 150 – full paragraph exhibited.
15. The Schwarz-Rot-Gold represents the Paulskirche Verfassung born from 1848 rings the third verse of the Deutschlandlied, the only verse sung today as Germany’s national anthem: “Einigkeit und Recht und Freiheit ...”.
16. The Verdant Green, The Immaculate White and the Illuminous orange flies above the highcourt, to signal from a far, justice.
17. Approximately five million Irish people have been victims of tyranny across fifteen centuries of recorded history – 2.7–3.5 million dead, 1.3–1.6 million deported or enslaved.

HIGH COURT

Record No. 2025 / H.P. 2025.6439

DEREK O'BARRÓID, also known as THEODORIC BAERWALD
Applicant

v

MR. DONAL O'DONNELL (Chief Justice of Ireland, natural person)
MR. JIM O'CALLAGHAN, TD (Minister for Justice)
MS. JENNIFER CARROLL MACNEILL, TD (Minister for Health)
IRELAND and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
Respondents

EX-PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPLY FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW
(Order 84, Rules of the Superior Courts – urgent)

TAKE NOTICE that on Monday 1 December 2025 (or the earliest date thereafter) the Applicant will apply *ex-parte* to the High Court for:

1. Leave to apply for judicial review (including an extension of time if required) seeking:
 - (a) *Certiorari* quashing the decision of the First Respondent contained in his letter dated 8 May 2025 refusing jurisdiction or action;
 - (b) *Mandamus* compelling the Second and Third Respondents to disclose within 14 days all Garda, medical, and hospital files relating to the Applicant's detention under s.12 Mental Health Act 2001 on 18 March 2025;
 - (c) Declaration that the detention on 18 March 2025 was unlawful and in breach of Article 40.4.1° of the Constitution, Article 5 ECHR, and s.12 Mental Health Act 2001;
 - (d) Declaration that the continuing refusal of access to the said files breaches Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and Article 8 ECHR.
2. An order shortening time for service of the substantive motion.
3. Liberty to serve the notice of motion on short notice on the Respondents at the following addresses:
 - First Respondent: Supreme Court Office, Four Courts, Inns Quay, Dublin 7
 - Second Respondent: Department of Justice, 51 St Stephen's Green, Dublin 2
 - Third Respondent: Department of Health, Block 1, Miesian Plaza, 50–58 Lower Baggot Street, Dublin 2
 - Fourth & Fifth Respondents: Chief State Solicitor's Office, 14–16 Michael's Place, Dublin 8
4. A stay on any further use of s.12 Mental Health Act powers against the Applicant pending determination.
5. Such further or other relief as this Honourable Court deems meet.

GROUND(S) (briefly)

- Detention on 18 March 2025 under s.12 was arbitrary, retaliatory, and procedurally unlawful.
- No judicial review ever conducted.
- Files refused despite express statutory and EU Charter obligations.
- First Respondent owed a duty of care (Glencar [2002] 1 IR 84 satisfied) and breached it by the refusal of 8 May 2025.
- Matter is urgent: continuing cover-up and risk of repetition.

GROUNDING on the affidavit of Derek O'Barróid, also known as Theodoric Baerwald, sworn this 11th day of November 2025.


Dated: 11 November 2025

Derek O'Barróid, also known as Theodoric Baerwald

Lay litigant in person



PPSN	5823237P
Name:	Derek Joseph O'Barroid
Birth Surname:	Barrett
Mothers Birth Surname:	Mc Hugh
Date Of Birth:	09/03/1968 Verified
Place Of Birth:	Limerick
Nationality:	IRL
Address:	Am Weidebaum Bóther na Duga Luimneach Luimneach V94 15AR



1. No fishing – perversion of justice

If the Respondents withhold the files, they commit the criminal offence of perverting the course of justice. Disclosure is the only route to potential prosecution of the Gardaí and doctor who detained the Applicant on 18 March 2025. Refusal is therefore not neutral administration – it is active concealment of crime.

2. Time limit – continuing breach + causation

The breach is continuing: the files remain withheld today. Even if calculated from 18 March 2025, the six-month limit only began to run because the First Respondent refused mandamus on 8 May 2025. Had mandamus issued, the 18 March detention would have been reviewable well within time. The Respondents cannot profit from their own wrong to plead limitation.

3. Duty of care – the Göring/Nuremberg defence fails

At Nuremberg, Hermann Göring did not personally gas a single Jew, yet he was convicted and sentenced to death for crimes against humanity because he knowingly created and sustained the system that made the killings possible. The Tribunal rejected the plea “I gave no direct order” and held that deliberate refusal to exercise power to prevent foreseeable atrocity creates liability (Nuremberg Judgment, 1 October 1946; Principle IV). The First Respondent, by his letter of 8 May 2025, knowingly refused to exercise the only power that could have compelled disclosure and prevented repetition of unlawful detention. He cannot hide behind “I issued no order” any more than Göring could hide behind “I built no camp”. The duty of care is engaged and breached.

4. Exhaustion impossible – “third court around the corner is closed”

Every domestic avenue has been exhausted in writing: Gardaí, HSE, Ministers, Attorney General, President of the High Court, Chief Justice. There is no functioning third-instance remedy for s.12 detentions – the Mental Health Tribunal was never convened, and the “court around the corner” remains closed. This is a complete denial of access to court contrary to Article 67 TEU and Article 6 ECHR.

5. Perversion of justice – Article 7 Rome Statute

Systematic refusal to investigate or disclose files relating to arbitrary detention constitutes a crime against humanity under Article 7(1)(e) & (i) Rome Statute when part of a widespread or systematic attack on a civilian (here, the Applicant). The Respondents’ actions meet that threshold.

6. Gardaí acted without grounded suspicion

Section 12 Mental Health Act 2001 requires the Garda to have reasonable grounds for believing the Applicant was suffering from a mental disorder presenting immediate risk. No such grounds were ever articulated or recorded. The detention was therefore ultra vires from the moment of arrest.

7. Continuing threat – June attempted-murder incidents

The Applicant reserves the right to exhibit evidence of further attacks (including attempted murder in June 2025) at the substantive hearing. Those events remain within the limitation period and demonstrate that the cover-up is not historic – it is live and life-threatening.

In the High Court of Limerick
Case No.



EU 31/03/2015

Applicant: dthl Derek O'Barraigh

Address: An weidebaan
Bithar na Duga
Y96 1SAA
Lunnarda, Éire.

Respondent: Minister for Justice Mr. Jim O'Callaghan
Minister to Health Ms. Jennifer MacNeill

To the Honorable Court.

Subject: Application for an Order of Mandamus.

I, dthl O'Barraigh, do hereby submit this petition
for an order of Mandamus to compel:-

1. Minister of Justice Mr. Jim O'Callaghan
2. Minister for Health Ms. Jennifer MacNeill

in their official capacity, to fulfill their unequivocal
duty to provide access to essential documentation
pertaining to my detention pursuant to Sec 12 dthl
Mental Health Act 2007.

*Nullus liber homo capietur, vel imprisonetur, aut dissolvatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruetur, nec
asperetur libet, nec super eum mittetur, nisi per legale iudicium parum suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus,
nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.*



GSOC

Complainant's personal details

Name: Derek

Surname: O'Barróid

Address:

Bóthar na Duga

Luimneach

Limerick

V94 I5AR

Ireland

Date of birth: 09/03/1968

Mobile phone number: 0894534502



Other phone number:

E-mail address: derek68job@gmail.com

Representative's personal details

Do you require a representative?

No

If you are making this complaint on behalf of another person or a company, you will need to provide the consent of the person or a director of the company you are representing.

The complaint

Date of incident: 26/03/2025

Time of incident: 15:00 and previous

Location of incident: Henry Street Garda Station

Do you have any information that could help identify the Garda who you wish to complain about?

SCHEDULE 5 Breach of Discipline Section 82 . 2. Neglect of duty, that is to say, without good and sufficient cause— (ii) promptly to do any thing that it is his or her duty as a member of the Garda Síochána to do,7.— (1) The function of the Garda Síochána is to provide policing and security services

for the State with the objective of— (a) preserving peace and public order, (b) protecting life and property, (c) vindicating the human rights of each individual, (d) protecting the security of the State, (e) preventing crime, (f) bringing criminals to justice, including by detecting and investigating crime, and.... Members of the Garda Síochána are involved with non militant subversion of the state. LK45, LK97, Lk299, Lk 400, Lk410, Lk 610, Sgt. Hanon, assistant superintendent, Henry Street, Superintendent Henry Street, Chief Superintendent Estuary Haus, are being accused of involved to various degrees of the following delict's: 1. False imprisonment. 2. Misfeasance in public office. 3. Perversion of justice. 4. Excessive use of force. 5. Denial of the right to legal counsel. 6. Failure to provide timely medical care. 7. Failure to provide access to a judge. 8. Violation of consular rights (failure to allow contact with the embassy). 9. Discrimination based on religious beliefs. 10. Infringement of the right to liberty. 11. Lack of proper documentation regarding detention. 12. Dismissal of complaints against public figures. 13. Abuse of power by public officials. 14. Negligence in the duty of care. 15. Coercion during the detention process. 16. Breach of confidentiality concerning personal information. 17. Failure to facilitate an effective remedy for alleged abuses. 18. Misrepresentation in medical assessments. 19. Denial of access to relevant information or documentation. 20. Violation of the right to humane treatment while in custody. Please provide documentation that the behaviour of above mentioned Garda are beyond the reaches of the Ombudsmann so that criminal charges may be brought against the persons. Is mise le meas Mac O'Barróid

Please describe the specific misbehaviour you are alleging the Garda carried out.



1. False imprisonment. 2. Misfeasance in public office. 3. Perversion of justice. 4. Excessive use of force. 5. Denial of the right to legal counsel. 6. Failure to provide timely medical care. 7. Failure to provide access to a judge. 8. Violation of consular rights (failure to allow contact with the embassy). 9. Discrimination based on religious beliefs. 10. Infringement of the right to liberty. 11. Lack of proper documentation regarding detention. 12. Dismissal of complaints against public figures. 13. Abuse of power by public officials. 14. Negligence in the duty of care. 15. Coercion during the detention process. 16. Breach of confidentiality concerning personal information. 17. Failure to facilitate an effective remedy for alleged abuses. 18. Misrepresentation in medical assessments. 19. Denial of access to relevant information or documentation. 20. Violation of the right to humane treatment while in custody.

What happened before the incident?

see above

Why do you think the garda behaved that way?

traitors

Please give the full names and contact details of any witnesses here.

Access to files where witnesses may be identified is at this point not been achieved.

If 'Yes': what is the evidence?

CCTV footage Henry street Garda Station, convolute of letters, Emails, personal attendance at Henry Street gard. members of HSE 5b UHL, Dr, Tigh, Willowdale, Nurse the Baer Mark, Nurse the Bull Noel, Nurse Mary, Helen of Troy; patient 5b

If 'Yes': Describe any injuries or medical treatment you received after the incident.

I have no medical competence, access to medical assistance is obstructed as no GP or medical card is

available, Dr, Tigh, Willowdale refuses to provide Phychric treatment although she is convinced that in the future am a danger to the wider community, Wittness Nurse James James Willowdale

Child protection and welfare obligation of GSOC

The welfare of a child is paramount. If the Garda Ombudsman has any concerns regarding the protection or welfare of a child, it has an obligation to share this information with TUSLA, the Child and Family Agency. Insofar as possible, we will discuss this with you first.

Section 110 Garda Síochána Act, 2005

It is a criminal offence to knowingly supply "false or misleading information" to GSOC. You may be prosecuted if it appears that you have done this. This could result in a fine and/or a prison sentence.

In summary, the information you give must be truthful and not seek to mislead GSOC in any complaint or investigation. If you give false or misleading information, you may have to pay a fine of up to €2,500 or be imprisoned for up to 6 months, or both.



Use of your information

Your information may be disclosed to third parties such as the Garda Síochána to enable us to effectively investigate complaints or where we are legally obliged to do so. This is to ensure an effective investigation of your complaint.

Where it is necessary and proportionate to do so, we may share information with other parties in any related criminal or civil proceedings. In relation to a criminal prosecution, this includes sharing information with the Director of Public Prosecutions who may then share this information with other parties like a witness or others identified in your complaint.

In a related civil proceeding, this includes sharing information with other parties as may be necessitated by law including by a Court of Law.

Absenderadresse

Theodoric Bárwald · Am Weidebaum, Bóther na Duga, Bóthar na Duga · 60433 Luimneach

00 000C C000 00 E000 0000
DV00.00 0,95 **Deutsche Post** 



*661*0000001*

Garda 183D Mr. McGrath
Courts of Justice
Inns Quay, D07 N97
60433 Dublin 7
IRLAND

Ihre Empfängeradresse muss innerhalb dieser Box stehen

27.11.2025

Der Gedanke legt der Grund für die Tat





High Court
1998
1998



27/11/29

Refr: H.P. 2025.6439 vom 26/11/2025

To Court Clerk Ms. Floite,

In the solemn hierarchy of the Constitution, a Minister for Justice stands vested with an exalted yet inexorable trust: as the living sentinel the common good, he(?) is in his(?) proper and without the interposition of any juridical veil, to answer for the catastrophe and persisting betrayal of that trust by the civil servants and constabulary who act as the extended sinews of his(?) authority. Where those subordinates, in systematic and flagrant contempt of the sacred guarantees of Art 40 BnBE., inflict reiterated arbitrary incarceration, visit upon citizens assault or attempted assassinations within the very sanctuaries of custody, and thereafter withhold all efficacious request, the Minister cannot shelter behind the absence of a particular statute, nor behind the modest privilege of Cabinet silence enshrined in Article 28.4.30 (a provision which, far from erecting an aegis of personal immunity, merely guards the deliberat

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.



Cuinnigh ar Luimneach agus ar sheall na Sasanach
Wer die Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.



Der Lust Schwerigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem
Kreiger

-tive seduction of the Council chamber), nor yet behind
the sophistical plea that operational dominion was elsewhere.
In such extremity, the ancient and imperishable doctrine of
command responsibility; first proclaimed in the dread trib-
unal that sat at Biersach in 1461 to judge Peter von
Hagenbach, and thereafter forged anew amid the ashes
of the Second World War in the Nuremberg Principles of
1945, descends upon him with the full majesty of custom-
-ary international law and the moral law that binds all
nations. Neither the incantation of superior orders, nor
the supposed lacuna in positive legislation, nor the gossamer
shroud of collective secrecy may serve to expiate his
individual culpa when the instruments of State, by act
or by studious omissions, have become artificers of at-
-rocities that affront the conscience of humanity and cry
aloud for retribution.

The Chief Justice of Ireland, as the supreme
public-law organ entrusted with the judicial power of
the People under Art 34.1 of the Constitution, bears per-
-sonal and ineluctable responsibility for the grave and
persisting dereliction disclosed by its refusal to issue a Writ

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec
supereum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus,
nullinegabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.



Cuimhinnigh ar Luimneach agus ar sheall na Sasanach
Wer die Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.

Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem
Kreiger



of mandamus, plainly available under Order 84 of the Rules of the 'Rules of the Superior Courts', compelling the Minister for Justice & Minister for Health to disclose the Garda and Medical files to identify members of An Garda Síochána who have repeatedly inflicted upon my person arbitrary incarceration, assaults in custody, and attempted murder, the continued concealment of those identities having directly enabled further life-threatening attacks. This refusal is not a legitimate exercise of judicial discretion but a deliberate abdication of the Court's Constitutional imperative under Art 40.3.2° to defend and vindicate the life and person of every individual, and a manifest violation of the State's positive obligations under Articles 2, 3, 5, 6.1 and 13 of the European Convention on Rights and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights.

Judicial immunity cannot shield the Chief Justice from accountability, for by knowingly withholding the only effective remedy capable of arresting an ongoing peril to my personal liberty, physical liberty and bodily integrity perpetrated by agents of the Executive, it has rendered itself individually culpable in the same degree as any natural person

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec supereum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus, nullinegabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.



Cuimnigh ar Luimneach agus ar fheall na Sasanach
Wer die Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.

Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem
Kreiger



who, by act or by culpable omission, participates in or acquiesces in conduct repugnant to the conscience of man-kind, a principal command responsibility enshrined in the Nuremberg Principles of 1945. That responsibility is rendered yet more acute by the statutory function imposed upon every member of An Garda Síochána by section 7.1.C of the Garda Síochána Act 2005: "vindictating the human right of each individual," a function which, in circumstances of attempted murder, torture, and continuing threat perpetrated by Garda members themselves, necessarily requires the disclosure of their identities when judicially commanded, so that those very rights may be vindicated: the Chief Justice's refusal to enforce that statutory and constitutional duty has converted the highest custodian of justice into an active instrument of its denial.

Ich sehe nicht den Winter
Ich arbeite für den Sommer
Alles was ich tue, dient der
Pracht des Sommers, die diesen
Garten innewohnt.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec
supereum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus,
nullinegabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.





Garda 183D Mr. Micheal McGrath is instructed to arrest under Garda Síochána Act 2005 sec 7.1.e & f and bringing this criminal to justice, also to investigate related crimes against the State, High Treason 1939, Usurpation 1924, Peruersion of Justice, embezzlement of documents attempted murder, theft, GBH, coercion and assault:

Chief Chief Justice of Ireland

Hon. Justice Mr. Donal O'Donnell

Of Supreme Court of Ireland

Imms Quay, D07A78X

Dublin 7, Éire.

In the case that the Garda Síochána Act Sec. 7, 2005 has been repealed. The duties of the Garda 183D are stipulated in Section 9 Policing, security and Community Safety Act 2024 through which Garda 183D is required to uphold the Law [S.I. NO 116/2025 P. S & C S Act 2024 Standards of professional Behaviour]: Sec 7.1 A member of or Garda Síochána shall uphold, and act in accordance with, the Law.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec supereum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre. Nulli vendemus, nullinegabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.





Man darf nicht warten,
bis der Freheitskampf Bürgerkrieg heisst
Man darf nicht warten, bis aus dem Schneeball
eine Lawine geworden ist.
Man muss dem rollenden Schneeball zertreten
Die Lawine hält keine mehr auf
Sie ruht erst, wenn sie alles unter sich begraben hat.

Sie bringen die alte Nacht wieder
Schritt für Schritt
und
Niemand schreit
Man darf es nicht laut sagen, aber es ist so

Er hat es gewusst
Er hat es aufgeschrieben
Sie haben trotz dem seine Bücher
verbrannt.

Not my children, Not again.
Vae Victis quidem sed non tibi
mo chuid bein, is scéalai mháil on Amsir

Theodor Bärwald 



Direktion C: Grundrechte und Rechtsstaatlichkeit
REFERAT C1: Justizpolitik und Rechtsstaatlichkeit
Referatsleiterin

Brüssel, den
JUST/C1/AK/Int/5621867s

Herr Derek O'Barróid
shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Sehr geehrter Herr O'Barróid,

ich danke Ihnen für Ihr Schreiben vom 21. Juli 2019, in dem Sie unter anderem darlegen, dass in Deutschland gegen das Prinzip der Rechtsstaatlichkeit verstoßen werde, ohne dies aber genauer zu begründen.

Ich möchte Sie daher informieren, dass die Europäische Kommission nach den Verträgen, auf denen die Europäische Union basiert¹, keine allgemeinen Befugnisse für die Behandlung von Beschwerden hat.

Die Europäische Kommission kann immer dann tätig werden, wenn eine Angelegenheit im Raume steht, welche einen Aspekt der Umsetzung von Europarecht berührt. Diese Voraussetzung vermag ich, auf Grundlage der in Ihrer Eingabe enthaltenen Informationen nicht als gegeben anzusehen. Deshalb muss ich Ihnen leider mitteilen, dass es der Europäischen Kommission nicht möglich ist, Ihre Angelegenheiten weiter zu verfolgen.

Ich bedauere, Ihnen keine weitergehende Hilfe anbieten zu können.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen,

Niovi Ringou

¹ Vertrag über die Europäische Union (EUV), Vertrag über die Arbeitsweise der Europäischen Union (AEUV)

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Krieger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Krieger.

Behörde



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 19:11 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer RC 496 624 289 D

Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main

RC 49 662 428 1DE 200 EINSCHREIBEN EINWURF

R



Finanzgericht
Bechtel
Königstor 35
34117 Kassel

Mac O'Barróid

Das Waldfeld 1

60433 FFM

Postfach 500530

60394 Frankfurt am Main

Hessen

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25

Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

isen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Teigh tiasana aoidh pem, ach níl ar Aic. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitum;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stüb, Voßkuhle, Xiates,

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.v.m. Art 26,27,146,147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 BUNRECHT NA hÉIREANN, O'achtuigh an Doibé an 1 hól, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní aithní an óirtheamh Céramanach, tús nach nathnaim se mo ceartaí óunreachtaí.
Ní he Almáinní Coanga na cúicéí seo. Tá tú cúicéad ar a mháiní ar mo dhreachtáir Derek Barrett.
Cen chaoi a phéadfaid tú?

In óndaoilgus polaitiúil ar fadh saoránach óirthe díús don náimín agus tairneach don Stát.

Úimhéalocht don dól

O'Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.


Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Behörde

 E-Mail schreiben
13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 19:15 Uhr erfolgreich versendet
Sendungsnummer RC 495 628 301 DE
Mac O'Barróid Das Waldfeld 1
60433 FFM
Postfach 500530
60394 Frankfurt am Main
Hessen
Bundesrepublik Deutschland.
Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25
Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

R


Bundesministerium der Justiz und für Verbraucherschutz
Barley
Mohrenstraße 37
10117 Berlin

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Tergh trama oiañ pem, uch m' ue Art. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum ex capitem;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stüb, Voßkuhle, Xiates,

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.V.m. Art 26,27,146,147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 BUNSCACHT NA hÉRCANN, D' ochtugh an Doct ar 1 iúil, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní aithní an éireachán Greamanach, tús nach na hnam ar mo cearta bunreachtúla.
Ní he Almainis Eanála na cúirtí seo. Tá tá cúiread ar a mhéid ar mo dhreachtúir Derek Barrett.
Cen chaoi a phéadfaíl tú?


Is éndachtas poistíní ar gach seachánach óirech díús don náimín ar an tairneach don Stát.

Omhaiocht don d'í



O' Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.



Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Behörde



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 19:21 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer RC 496-624-315 DE

Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main

RC 496-624-315 DE 2000 EINSCHREIBEN EINGEWURF

R



Hessisches Landessozialgericht

Ewald

Strosßengr. 14

64293 Darmstadt

Mac O'Barróid

Das Waldfeld 1

60433 FFM

Postfach 500530

60394 Frankfurt am Main

Hessen

Bundesrepublik Deutschland.

Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25

Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Togh tiansa ordh tem, ach raí ar Art. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitum;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stüb, Voßkuhle, Xiates.

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.v.m. Art 26.27.146.147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 Abs. 3 MERCACT NA HERCANS, O' achtuigh an pobal an 1.1.1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní aithní an áiteamh Garamanach, tá na h-áiteamh le mo cearta áiteamh. Ní he Áiteamh Cearta na h-áiteamh. Tá é áiteamh le mo áiteamh Derek Barrett. Cen chaoi a phléadall tú?

Is éndas/ta polatrúil ar tugh eadánach éirch díis don náisiún agus tairiseach don Stát.

Umhálacht den dEi

O'Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiat, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiat, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Behörde



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 19:33 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer RC 496 624 346 DE

Mac O'Barröid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main

RC 49 662 434 EDE 200 EINSCHREIBEN EINWURF

R



Verwaltungsgericht Frankfurt am Main
Janßen
Adalbertstraße 18
60486 Frankfurt am Main

Mac O' Barröid

Das Waldfeld 1

60433 FFM

Postfach 500530

60394 Frankfurt am Main

Hessen

Bundesrepublik Deutschland.

Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25

Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Tergh traans ordh pen, ach nī or Art. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitem;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stilb, Vollkuhle, Xintes,

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEVR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.v.m. Art 26,27,146,147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 BUNDESCACHT NA h'ERCAIN, O' achturgh an dooal an 1 Nul., 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ni aithin an d'eachamh Caramanach, Eac nach naChnam be mo ceartai d'eachachtuía.
Ní he Almhina coanga na cúirtí aco. Tá cù climead ar a mharú ar mo dheachthair Derek Barrett.
Cen chaoi a phléadail tú?

Is éndéu'gaa polatréid ar tuch maokánach dh'eich díús don náimín agus tairneach don Stát.

Umh'adacht don dU

O' Barröid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Behörde

 Einschreiben
13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 19:38 Uhr erfolgreich versendet
Sendungsnummer: RC 496 624 350 DE
Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main
PO 49-REG 435 000 ZUGESCHRIEBEN ENWURF
R

Amtsgericht
Stilb
Gerichtsstraße 2
60313 Frankfurt am Main

Mac O'Barróid
Das Waldfeld 1
60433 FFM
Postfach 500530
60394 Frankfurt am Main
Hessen
Bundesrepublik Deutschland.
Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25
Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Teigh tuisana órádh péim, ach ní ar Azc. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitum;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stilb, Voßkuhle, Xiates.

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.v.m. Art 26,27,146,147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 BUNRECHT NA HÉRGANN, O' achtasgh an Dócaí an 1 iúil, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní aithní an áireachán Céramanach, tús nach nachnam se mo cearta áireachtála.
Ní he Álmáin tanga na cúicéí seo. Tá tú clárad ar a mhain ar mo áireachtáir Derek Barrett.
Cén chaoi a phéindail tú?

In ándacálas polaitiúil ar gach áireachán óberth diús don náimín agus tuisneach don Stát.

Umhálacht don d'í



O'Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Seite 1 von 12



Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Behörde:



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 19:49 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer RC 496 624 385 DE
Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main
RC 49 682 438 DE 300 EINSCHREIBEN EINWURF

R



Oberlandesgericht Frankfurt
Poseck
Zell 42
60313 Frankfurt am Main

Mac O'Barróid
Das Waldfeld 1
60433 FFM
Postfach 500530
60394 Frankfurt am Main
Hessen
Bundesrepublik Deutschland.
Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25
Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Togh tráma beoibí péin, ach ní ar Art. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitum:

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stiltb, Vollkuhle, Xiates.

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.V.m. Art 26, 27, 146, 147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 Abs. 3 VERBODEN NA HÉRCANN, O' achtaigh an Podas an 1 iúil, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní artham an áitheamh Garamanach, tunc nach nathnam se mo ceartas áineachaisla.
Ní he Aláimnis teanga na círeici seo. Tá tú cínead ar a mhóid ar mo dhreatháir Derek Barrett.
Cen cheoi a phéadail tú?

Is bonndóigear póistriúil ar gach áireamh áitheir díús don náimín agus éiríneach don Stát.

Umhíreacht don dÚi

O'Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Seite 4 von 12

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Behörde



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.05.2019
um 19:56 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer RC 496 624 403 DE
Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main

RC 49 662 440 3DE 200 EINSCHREIBEN EINWURF

R



Bundesverfassungsgericht

Voßkuhle

Postfach 1771

76006 Karlsruhe

Akt: Teilh. Danks. 0808 Jem, -sch. n. d. Art. 2.

Betr.: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellus multorum es capitem;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stüb, Voßkuhle, Xiates,

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.V.m. Art 26, 27, 146, 147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 MBBBACHTT NA HERRCANN, O' ochtaigh an Doibh an 1 iúil, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ná aithní an áireachán Garamanach, éirí nach náthnaim se mo coartai danteachtala.
Ná he Álmáine coingá na cúirel aco. Tá tú cúiread ar a mhóir ar mo dhéanacháir Derek Barrett.
Cen chaoi a phléadail tú?

Wendenduzer polstiruk ar zach asoránach dhesth dka don náimín egos tasuseach don stát.

Omikaloche don dki

O'Barróid

Mac O'Barróid

Das Waldfeld 1

60433 FFM

Postfach 500530

60394 Frankfurt am Main

Hessen

Bundesrepublik Deutschland.

Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25

Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iudicium.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iudicium.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Kreiger.

Behörde



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 20:04 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer RC 496 624 417 DE

Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main

RC 49 602 441 DE 2019EINSCHREIBEN EINWURF

R



Verwaltungsgericht Berlin

Kalter

Kirchstraße 7

10557 Berlin

Mac O'Barróid

Das Waldfeld 1

60433 FFM

Postfach 500530

60394 Frankfurt am Main

Hessen

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25

Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

Az: Teigh traana oróh pém, ach náíl ar Art. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier,

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitum;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stilh, Voßkühle, Xiates,

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEVR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.v.m. Art 26, 27, 146, 147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 KONSTITUTION IRELAND, O' achrach an Dócaí an 1 iún, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní aithní an áireamh Caramanach, tús nach naithní se mo ceartaí dárreachtúla.
Ní he Almháma teanga na cúireí seo. Tá tú cúiread ar a mhairí ar mo áireachtúir Derek Barrett.
Cén chaoi a phléadail tú?

Is bunúsachas polaitríel ar tuch asoránach áireith díús don náimín agus tairneach don Stát.

Umhlaocht don dól

O'Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut justiciam.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Krieger.

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen dem Krieger.

Behörde



Einschreiben

13 Seiten wurden am 04.06.2019
um 20:10 Uhr erfolgreich versendet

Sendungsnummer: RC 486 624 434 DE
Mac O'Barróid, Das Waldfeld 1, 60394 Frankfurt am Main
00 40 662 443 406 200EINSCHREIBEN EINWURF

R



Bundespräsidialamt
Herr
Bundespräsident
Sprennweg 1
10557 Berlin

Mac O'Barróid

Das Waldfeld 1

60433 FFM

Postfach 500530

60394 Frankfurt am Main

Hessen

Bundesrepublik Deutschland.

Fax: 0049 69 900 187 25

Email: Shamthisderek@hotmail.com

Hessen seit 11/12/1946

A2: Teach trauma oibh pan, ach naíl ar Aic. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

'You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es caput;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stiltb, Voßkuhle, Xiates,

dem dem Grundgesetz als objektive Werteordnung des Rechts zu Grunde liegenden, im Prinzip der Sozialpflichtigkeit eines jeden Verhaltens verankerten Grundgedanken entspricht es, dass der Einzelne verpflichtet ist, (vgl. Art 29 AEMR vom 10.12.1948, Art 20 Abs. 4 GG vom 23.05.1949 i.V.m. Art 26, 27, 146, 147 HessVerfG, 11.12.1946 sowie Art. 9 sec. 3 DUNRECHT NA NÉRCANN, D' uchtuigh an Doibí an 1 hní, 1937) die Allgemeinheit durch die Bindung an dem Rechtsgemeinschaft, Verantwortung zu tragen.

Ní aithní an áiteamh Céramanach, tús nach nathniam ar mo ceartas dúnreachtúla.

Ní he Almaina teanga na cúirtí seo. Tá tú cúitead ar a mhéid ar mo dhreachtúir Derek Barrett.

Cen chaoi a phléadail tú?

Is éardas na poitúin ar gach oibríocht éirí d'íos don náisiún agus tairneach don Stát.

Umhásacht don dE

O'Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiat, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iudicium.

Seite 1 von 12

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiat, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iudicium.

Strafverfolgungsbehörde

HESSEN

Mac O'Barröid
DasWaldfeld 1
60433 Frankfurt am Main

Postfach 500530
60394 Frankfurt am Main
Fax: 0049 69 90010725
Tel.: 00353 89 4969682
Email: MacOBarroid@Hotmail.com

Hessen 04/07/2021

Az: 8M564/21 Amtsgericht Korbach Herr Rechtspfleger Vogel

Betr.: Gefährdung des demokratischen Rechtsstaates, Veruntreuung Abgaben €150.000, Versteuerung des Existenzminimum 1999 – 2020, Pfändung von SGB II & III

Sehr geehrter Damen und Herren,

die vollkerrecht mindest standard; demokratisch Grundordnung, verlangt förmlich, daß die volkerrechtliche grundsatz „Rule of Law“ rechnung tragen muss: Pacta sunt servanda.

„well might dead who struggled in the slime,
arise and deride this specular of crime.“

Nun zu dieser späten Stunde, soll deutlich gemacht werden, daß die Behörde zur Tätigwerden gezwungen werden muss. Hessen ist nicht weniger als Magna Charta, Habeas Corpus, REDLICHKEIT, sowie Pflichtbewusstsein. Prüßische Tugenden die seit Jahren benachteiligt worden sind, zur gunsten Selbstherrlichkeit, Willkur sowie geballte Dämlichkeit, müssen aufgelebt werden,

„ Es lebe die Hessische Republik“

Sie erhalten zur widerholten Mal die gelegenheit Ihre anvertrauten Dikategeräte aus seine Schubladen zur nehmen um verfassungsmäßigen Recht anzuwenden, anders liegt in Ihre anvertrauten ermessens nicht.

Anderweitig droht der ligimitäte der Bundespräsident, sowie Ministerpräsident schaden zunehmen. Solches werde ich nicht zulassen.

Der Justizgewahrungsanspruch Rechtsschutz ligimitiert den Rechtsstaat,

Hesse ist, wer Hesse sein will.

Hessen ist ein demokratischer Rechtsstaat.

1. Ich beantrage Rechtsschutz im Sinne des Artt. 67, 68, 69 HessVerfG.
2. Ich beantrage eine Termin zur Erörterung des Sachverhalts.

Friedrich Heide, V. d. R. Reichen

Mac O'Barröid

[Signature]

Wer sich den Gesetzen nicht fügen will, muss die Gegend verlassen, wo sie gelten.
Der Lust Schwierigkeiten zu überwinden gehört dem Mathematiker, der Mut die Vulgarität des Unrechts zu besiegen
dem Kreiger.

Behörde

Bundeskriminalamt
65173 Wiesbaden



Bellua multorum es capitum, Münch,

der in Art. 103 Abs. 1 GG verbürgte Anspruch auf gerichtliches Gehör steht in einem funktionalen Zusammenhang mit der Rechtsschutzgarantie und der Justizgewährungspflicht des Staates (vgl. BVerfGE 81, 123 <129>). Der Anspruch auf rechtliches Gehör aus Art. 1 Abs. 1 GG ist dem Grunde nach von der Verfassung vorgegeben.

Wie hätten Sie denn gerne, Herr Münch, Schriftsätze oder Sprengsätze?

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz = 1. \quad \diamond$$

Art. 3 Abs. 3 EU vom Dez. 2009
Art. 2 Abs. 3 ICCPR vom Dez. 1966
Art. 1 Abs. 1 EMRK vom Nov. 1950
Art. 1 Abs. 1 GG vom Mai 1949
Art. 1 AEMR vom 1948
Art 27 HessVerfG. vom Dez. 1946;
Art 1 Abs. 3 UN vom Oct. 1945



Iudices leges novisse debent, non solum modestus vir Hibernus, qui inter deos minores moratur.

Cuimhní gí ar Luimneach agus ar pheall na Sasanach, "Eu nimet saxas!"

Tiocfaidh ár Lá

O' Barróid

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec super eum
ibimus, nec super eum mittemus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, nec differemus iudicium aut justiciam.



https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/b/b4/Dirac_function_approximation.gif

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz = 1.$$





Herrn
Derek BARRETT
Casa 21
Klingerstrasse 8
D-60313 FRANKFURT AM MAIN

ECHR-LGer11.00R
AMU/BSE/nsc

23. April 2015

Beschwerde Nr. 11662/15
Barrett ./ Deutschland

Sehr geehrter Herr Barrett,

Ihre am 2. März 2015 eingelegte Beschwerde wurde hier unter der obigen Nummer registriert.

Hiermit teile ich Ihnen mit, dass der Europäische Gerichtshof für Menschenrechte zwischen dem 2. April 2015 und dem 16. April 2015 in Einzelrichterbesetzung (H. Keller, unterstützt von einem Berichterstatter in Übereinstimmung mit Artikel 24 Absatz 2 der Konvention) entschieden hat, die Beschwerde für unzulässig zu erklären. Diese Entscheidung erging am zuletzt genannten Datum.

Soweit die Beschwerdepunkte in seine Zuständigkeit fallen, ist der Gerichtshof aufgrund aller zur Verfügung stehenden Unterlagen zu der Auffassung gelangt, dass die in Artikel 34 und 35 der Konvention niedergelegten Voraussetzungen nicht erfüllt waren.

Diese Entscheidung ist endgültig und unterliegt keiner Berufung an den Gerichtshof sowie an die Große Kammer oder eine andere Stelle. Sie werden daher Verständnis dafür haben, dass die Kanzlei Ihnen keine weiteren Auskünfte über die Beschlussfassung des Einzelrichters geben und auch keinen weiteren Schriftverkehr mit Ihnen in dieser Angelegenheit führen kann. Sie werden in dieser Beschwerdesache keine weiteren Zuschriften erhalten, und die Beschwerdeakte wird ein Jahr nach Datum dieser Entscheidung vernichtet werden.

Das vorliegende Schreiben ergeht nach Artikel 52 A der Verfahrensordnung des Gerichtshofes.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen
Für den Europäischen Gerichtshof für Menschenrechte

A. Müller-Elschner
Rechtsreferent

Behörde

Mac O'Barróid
Das Waldfeld 1
60433 FFM
Postfach 500530
60394 Frankfurt am Main
Hessen
Bundesrepublik Deutschland.
Hessen seit 11.12.1946

Λζ.: Τεῖρῃ τῤαβνα οῤιόῃ ϣετ, αḥ nί ar λῤτ. 2.

Betr: Völkerrechtliche Amtshaftungsklage gegen Amtsstelle Steinmeier.

Deuteronomy 1:17

`You shall not show partiality in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not fear man, for the judgment is God's. The case that is too hard for you, you shall bring to me, and I will hear it.'

Bellua multorum es capitum;

Bolten, Bechtel, Barley, Ewald, Janßen, Nebeling, Proseck, Stilb, Voßkuhle, Xiates

It is a recognised truth of jurisprudence that laws are created by Man and that civilised Man, in a tradition of more than six millennia, agrees to abide by these laws for the common good of all society. For it is only by the rule of law that any civilisation holds itself above the promiscuous squalor of barbarism.

Niemand erteilt Schärfer als der Ungebildete. Er kennt weder Gründe noch gegen Gründe und glaubt sich immer im Recht. Pluralistische ignorante behördliche Erfüllungsgehilfen koagulieren zu einem gemeinen eitrigen Abszess, welcher gärt und einen vulgär riechenden Eiter absondert, der sogar die völlig abscheulichen Despotismen und Verderbtheiten, die der Mensch seit der Geißelung der Hypatia jemals in Betracht gezogen hat, übertrifft. Eine Tat vollbracht durch Cyrils zivilisierten Günstlinge. Der Inbegriff der Obszönitäten zumindest aber die äußerste Beleidigung des menschlichen Gewissens.

ιδιώτης

Das ersichtlich lethargische Interesse, eine Existenzminimum im Sinne der Rechtsstaatsprinzipien des Art. 20 Abs. 3, 23 Abs.1, 28 Abs. 3 GG zu gewahren, kann nur das menschliche Gewissen empören, alle Kinder der Bundesrepublik Deutschland zu verachten und das Wohl deren Kindern zu gefährden.

Iudices leges novisse debent, non solum modestus vir Hibernus, qui inter deos minores moratur.

Ομηλαίοχτ don dλί



O'BARRÓID



Dulce et decorum est
Pro patria mori.

Nullus liber homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut disseisiatur, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruat, nec
super eum ibimus, nec super eum mittimus, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum vel per legem terre.
Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus rectum aut iusticiam.

Lebenslauf

Personfiche Daten:


Name: Derek O'Barróid

Anschrift: Am Weidebaum
Bóther na Duga
Luimneach
V94 I5AR
65760, Eschbom

Telephone: 00353 89 4534502

Geburtsdatum: 03/09/1968

Staatsangehoerigkeit: Irisch, HESSE, Deutsch



Ausbildung:

C.B.S. Sexton Street	Primary school	
Crescent College Comprehensive Doradoyle, Limerick, Ireland.	Hohere Schule AbschlusB.	Okt. 1981 - Juni 1987
University of Limerick Plassy Technological Park, Limerick, Ireland.	Bachelor of Engineering an Engineering Materials.	Sep. 1987 – juni 1992

Militär:

1. Sicherer und taktischer Umgang mit Heib-, Stich-, und Stoßwaffen
2. Taktisch- und Abwehrtechniken in Observations-Contact-Liquidation Scenario. 82a
3. Sicherer und taktischer Umgang mit Klein-Kaliber Schusswaffen.
4. Sicherer und taktischer Umgang mit Sturmgewehr NATO, H&K, Colt Platforms.
5. Sichere und taktischer Umgang mit Scharfschützgewehr: NATO, Barrett M 82, 107 Platforms.
6. Sichere und taktischer Umgang mit Improvised Explosive Devises I.E.D's."

Hobbies

Outdoor and Buschkraft
Handwerk
AiKiDo Bushido

Berufserfahrung:

Vohrmann Garten- und Landschaftsbau GmbH Ziegenhainer Straße 26a Frankfurt am Main.	Aushilfe.	Semesterferien in den Jahren von 1987 bis 1992. 60433
C.R. Bard Ireland Limited; Parkmore Industrial Estate; Galway, Ireland.	Praktikant.*	April bis Dez. 1990
	Technische- Unterstützung.	Jan. 1991 - Juli 1992 Teilzeit.
VohrmannGartenarbeiter. Garten- und Landschaftsbau GmbH Ziegenhainer Straße 26a 60433 Frankfurt am Main.	Gärtner	11/01/93 bis 31/12/99

* Während meiner Zeit beim C.R. Bard bin ich bei der Koordinierung der Abteilung beteiligt gewesen. Ich war für die Entwicklung und die Einleitung von neuer Technologien und Forschung und die Entwicklung von Produkten verantwortlich.

Shamthis Landscapes Garten und landschaftsbau ABM	Inhaber Reinigungskraft U.H.Limerick	Das Waldfeld 1 60433 FFM
--	---	-----------------------------

Besondere Kenntnisse:

<i>Sprachen:</i>	Deutsch, Englisch, Gaelisch, Latein
<i>Computer:</i>	Fortran, QuickBasic, C++ Microsoft Word, Excel, Access, Outlook, Powerpoint Adobe Photoshop 5 Corel Draw 6 Corel Photo-Paint 6 AutoCad
<i>Führerschein:</i>	CE.C1E.BE.MSL.CE 79

Abgeschlossene Projekte:

<i>CALM:</i>	Computer Aided Laser Mikroskopie ist ein intelligent gesteuertes Softwarepaket. Dieses Software steuert einen linearen Trittmotor und ein Lasermikrometer. Das Software ist für die qualitative und statistische Kontrolle von Katheterspitzen und für die Real Time Prozedur Kontrolle entwickelt worden. Dieses Projekt habe ich während meiner Praktikum beim C.R. Bard entwickelt.
<i>Diplomarbeit:</i>	Wear analysis of fibre reinforced polymers and protheses materials.

Gegenwertiger Projekten:

Balistikkleidung fuer Frontline Soldaten

Ambidextrous Cal. 6.35 Hauserkampf-, Sturm-, und Schafschuetzgewehr.

AHSS-gewehr als Nato-platform gegen der Russe.

Aufklaerung der Untergrabung der Demokratische Grundordnung der EU

Lebensphilosophie:

We have declared for the Irish Republic

We shall live by no other LAW.

SUUM CUIQUE, mo chuid féin.

*Colbert & Daly fought to give Éire
A fundamental Right for me and you
The right of Right, not right of Might
To protect even the Devil as if it were you
If the the Law does not protect all, the who, you?*

Derek O'Barford
2024
13/05
Aiséirí. Na Cúrsaí. 24

Theodore Barwald
17/08
2025





SAFE Registration Customer Declaration

PPSN 5823237P

Name: Derek Joseph O'Barróid

Birth Surname: Barrett

Mothers Birth Surname: Mc Hugh

Date Of Birth: 09/03/1968 Verified

Place Of Birth: Limerick

Nationality: IRL

Address: Am Weidebaum
Bóther na Duga
Luimneach
Luimneach
V94 15AR

Dept. of Social Protection

11 JUL 2024

Dominic Street
Limerick

I confirm that I have supplied the following documentation in support of my SAFE Registration :

- Address on file quoted by customer
- Passport
- GRO

Note: The Department scans and retains copies of documents provided as part of the SAFE Registration process, however it does not scan or retain copies of utility bills or other proofs of address.

Declaration

I declare that the information given by me and as contained in this form is truthful and complete.

Signature:

Date: 11/07/2024

Warning: If you make a false statement or withhold information, you may be prosecuted leading to a fine, a prison term, or both.

Data Protection Statement

The Department of Social Protection administers Ireland's social protection system. The Department requires customers to provide certain personal data in order to determine eligibility for relevant payments and or benefits. Your personal data may be exchanged with other Government Departments in certain circumstances where this is provided for by law. Full details of the Department's privacy statement setting out how we will use your personal data as well as information regarding your rights as a data subject are available at www.gov.ie/dsp/privacystatement. Details of this policy are also available in hard copy upon request.